Search for QCD Critical Point with Fluctuations of Conserved Quantities in Heavy-ion Collisions

Status and Prospective



Xiaofeng Luo (罗晓峰)

Central China Normal University April 8, 2018



First observation of binary neutron star merger

15 papers are published in Science, Nature, PRL

 Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 161101 (2017), Published October 16, 2017

[2] Arcavi et al., "Optical emission from a kilonova following a gravitational-wave-detected neutron-star merger" Nature (16 October 2017)

[3] Troja et al., "The X-ray counterpart to the gravitational-wave event GW170817" Nature (16 October 2017)

[4] Pian et al., "Spectroscopic identification of r-process nucleosynthesis in a double neutronstar merger" Nature (16 October 2017)

[5] Kasen et al., "Origin of the heavy elements in binary neutron-star mergers from a gravitational-wave event" Nature (16 October 2017)

[6] Smartt et al., "A kilonova as the electromagnetic counterpart to a gravitational-wave source" Nature (16 October 2017)

[7] Abbott et al., "A gravitational-wave standard siren measurement of the Hubble constant" Nature (16 October 2017)

[8] Drout et al., "Light curves of the neutron star merger GW170817/SSS17a: Implications for r-process nucleosynthesis," Science (16 October 2017)

[9] Shappee et al., "Early spectra of the gravitational wave source GW170817: Evolution of a neutron star merger," Science (16 October 2017)

[10] Kasliwal et al., "Illuminating gravitational waves: A concordant picture of photons from a neutron star merger," Science (16 October 2017)

[11] Hallinan et al., "A radio counterpart to a neutron star merger," Science (16 October 2017)

[12] Evans et al., "Swift and NuSTAR observations of GW170817: Detection of a blue kilonova," Science (16 October 2017)

[13] Bloom et al., "A cosmic multimessenger gold rush," Science (16 October 2017)

[14] Kilpatrick et al., "Electromagnetic evidence that SSS17a is the result of a binary neutron star merger," Science (16 October 2017)

[15] Coulter et al., "Swope Supernova Survey 2017a (SSS17a), the optical counterpart to a gravitational wave source," Science (16 October 2017)

- Gravitation wave + EM radiation
- The origin of heavy elements
- Multi-messenger astronomy era

A scientific feast! (科学盛宴)



What's the EoS of neutron star?



QCD Phase Structure and Beam Energy Scan





Critical Point and Critical Phenomena

T. Andrews.Phil. Trans. Royal Soc., 159:575, 1869.



First CP is discovered in 1869 for CO_2 by Andrews.



Critical Phenomena :

- Density fluctuations and cluster formations.
- Divergence of Correlation length (ξ).
 Susceptibilities (χ), heat capacity (C_V),
 Compressibility (κ) etc.
 Critical opalescence.
- Universality and critical exponents are determined by the symmetry and dimensions of underlying system.

Heavy-ion collisions:

- 1) Effects of finite time and size.
- 2) Non-equilibrium effects.



Location of CEP: Theoretical Prediction





Lattice QCD: 1): Fodor&Katz, JHEP 0404,050 (2004): $(\mu^{E}_{B}, T_{E}) = (360, 162) \text{ MeV} (\text{Reweighting})$

2): Gavai&Gupta, NPA 904, 883c (2013) $(\mu^{E}_{B}, T_{E}) = (279, 155) \text{ MeV}$ (Taylor Expansion)

3): F. Karsch et al. NPA 956, 352 (2016). (μ^{E}_{B} / T_E >2)

DSE:

1): Y. X. Liu, et al., PRD90, 076006 (2014); 94, 076009 (2016).

 $(\mu^{E}_{B}, T^{E}) = (372, 129); (262.3, 126.3) \text{ MeV}$ 2): Hong-shi Zong et al., JHEP 07, 014 (2014). $(\mu^{E}_{B}, T_{E}) = (405, 127) \text{ MeV}$ 3): C. S. Fischer et al., PRD90, 034022 (2014). $(\mu^{E}_{B}, T^{E}) = (504, 115) \text{ MeV}$

μ_B^E =262 ~ 504 MeV, T_E = 115~162, μ_B^E / T_E =1.74~4.38



Experimental facility to study the high baryon density region





STAR Detector



Xiaofeng Luo

1st Symposium on Intermediate-energy Heavy Ion Collisions, Tsinghua, Beijing, China



Beam Energy Scan - I (2010-2017)



- Large and homogeneous acceptance at mid-rapidity.
- STAR has good opportunity to explore the QCD phase structure by accessing broad region of phase diagram.



Quantify the Fluctuations

Cumulants generating fun.:

$$G(\theta) = \sum_{n} e^{\theta n} P(n) = \left\langle e^{\theta n} \right\rangle \quad \left\langle n^{m} \right\rangle_{c} = \frac{\partial^{m} K(\theta)}{\partial \theta^{m}} \bigg|_{\theta=0}$$

✤ For Poisson distributions: $C_n = C_1$ ✤ For Gaussian distributions: $C_n = 0$, (n>=3)

Cumulants and Central Moments: $C_{1,N} = \langle N \rangle, \quad C_{2,N} = \langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle$ $C_{3,N} = \langle (\delta N)^3 \rangle, \quad C_{4,N} = \langle (\delta N)^4 \rangle - 3 \langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle^2$ $\delta N = N - \langle N \rangle \rangle$ **Variance, Skewness and Kurtosis:** $\sigma^2 = C_{2,N}, S = \frac{C_{3,N}}{(C_{2,N})^{3/2}}, \kappa = \frac{C_{4,N}}{(C_{2,N})^2}$



M. Kitazawa, X. Luo, PRC96, 024910 (2017)

- Describe the shape of the distribution
- "Factorial": Remove self-correlations, easy efficiency correction.





Cumulants of Conserved Charges Distributions

The cumulants of conserved charges (B, Q, S) in grand canonical ensemble are extensive variables, and are directly connected to the susceptibility of the system.

$$C_{n,q} = VT^{3}\chi_{q}^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^{n}(p/T^{4})}{\partial(\mu_{q}/T)^{n}}, \qquad q = B,Q,S$$

Cancel out the volume dependence by taking ratios of cumulants:



S. Ejiri et al, Phys.Lett. B 633 (2006) 275. B. Friman et al., EPJC 71 (2011) 1694. F. Karsch and K. Redlich, PLB 695, 136 (2011). S. Gupta, et al., Science, 332, 1525(2012).



Xiaofeng Luo

1st Symposium on Intermediate-energy Heavy Ion Collisions, Tsinghua, Beijing, China



Observables measured at STAR

Cumulants of the event-by-event net-proton, net-charge and net-kaon distributions.



M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 032301 (2009). M.Asakawa, S. Ejiri and M. Kitazawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 262301 (2009).



Analysis Details

| | Net-Charge Net-Proton | | Net-Kaon | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Kinematic cuts | 0.2 < ρ _τ (GeV/c) < 2.0 η < 0.5 | 0.4 < p _T (GeV/c) < 2.0 y < 0.5 | 0.2 < p _T (GeV/c) < 1.6 y < 0.5 | |
| Particle Identification | Reject protons form spallation for $p_{\tau} < 0.4 \text{ GeV/}c$ | $0.4 < p_T$ (GeV/c) < 0.8 → TPC $0.8 < p_T$ (GeV/c) < 2.0 → TPC+TOF | $\begin{array}{ll} .8 \rightarrow \text{TPC} & 0.2 < p_{T} \text{ (GeV/c)} < 0.4 \rightarrow \text{TPC} \\ .0 \rightarrow \text{TPC+TOF} & 0.4 < p_{T} \text{ (GeV/c)} < 1.6 \rightarrow \text{TPC+TOF} \end{array}$ | |
| | | | | |
| Centrality definition, → to avoid auto-correlations | Uncorrected charged primary particles multiplicity distribution | Uncorrected charged primary particles multiplicity distribution, without (anti-)protons | Uncorrected charged primary particles multiplicity distribution, without (anti-)kaons | |
| | $0.5 < \eta < 1.0$ | $ \eta < 1.0$ | $ \eta < 1.0$ | |
| TOF PID | | PC PID Phase Space | | |
| 1.2 14.5 GeV 1 1.2 14.5 GeV proton 1.2 14.5 GeV 1 1.2 14.5 GeV 1 1.2 14.5 GeV 1 1.2 1.5 | 10^4 | $\begin{array}{c} 10^{4} \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$ | 14.5GeV TPC+TOF 0 | |
| | p*q (GeV/c) | | Proton Rapidity | |

Xiaofeng Luo

1st Symposium on Intermediate-energy Heavy Ion Collisions, Tsinghua, Beijing, China



Xiaofeng Luo

Experimental Results : Energy dependence





- Do we precisely measure the fluctuations in heavyion collisions (value and uncertainties) ?
 (experimental analysis methods)
- 2. What's the characteristic signature (model independent) of the QCD critical point for the fluctuation observable in heavy-in collisions ?
- 3. What's the background (non-CP) contributions to the experimental observables ?



1. Effective model calculations (Static): σ field Model, NJL, PNJL, PQM, VDW+HRG, Mean field

M. A. Stephanov, PRL107, 052301 (2011). Schaefer&Wanger,PRD 85, 034027 (2012); JW Chen, JDeng et al., PRD93, 034037 (2016), PRD95, 014038 (2017) W. K. Fan, X. Luo, H.S. Zong, IJMPA 32, 1750061 (2017); arXiv: 1702.08674 Vovchenko et al., PRC92,054901 (2015); PRL118,182301 (2017) K. Fukushima, Phys.Rev. C91 (2015) no.4, 044910; Weijie, Fu et al, Phys.Rev. D94 (2016), 116020 M. Huang et al., arXiv:1706.02238, Ju Xu et al, arXiv:1709.05178, Guoyun Shao et al.,arXiv:1708.04888

2. Dynamical evolution of critical fluctuations: Study nonequilibrium effects

Swagato et al, PRC92,034912 (2015). PRL117, 222301 (2016); M. Nahrgang, et al. EPJA 52, 240 (2016). C. herold Phys.Rev. C93 (2016) no.2, 021902 L. Jiang et al. arXiv: 1704.04765

3. Non-critical background: HRG, UrQMD, JAM, AMPT, Hydro+UrQMD

Z. Feckova, et al., PRC92, 064908(2015). P.K. Netrakanti et al, NPA947, 248(2016), P. Garg et al. Phys. Lett. B726, 691(2013).J.H. Fu, arXiv: 1610.07138; Phys.Lett. B722 (2013) 144-150; M. Bluhm, EPJC77, 210 (2017). J. Xu, YSL, X. Luo, F. Liu, PRC94, 024901 (2016) ; S. He, X. Luo, arXiv:1704.00423, C. Zhou, et al., PRC96, 014909 (2017). S. He, et al., PLB762, 296 (2016). L. Jiang et al., PRC94, 024918 (2016). H.J. Xu, PLB 2017. Huichao et al., arXiv:1707.09742



Data Analysis Methods

Raw net-p prob. distribution



- **1. Initial Volume Fluctuations.** centrality bin width correction.
- 2. Remove auto-correlation. New centrality definition.
- **3. Efficiency Correction.** Binomial efficiency response
- 4. Statistical Error Estimation.

Delta theorem and Bootstrap

error $\propto O(\sigma^n / \varepsilon^{\alpha})$

X.Luo, et al. J. Phys. G39, 025008 (2012); A. Bzdak and V. Koch, PRC86, 044904 (2012); X.Luo, et al. J. Phys. G40,105104(2013); X.Luo, Phys. Rev. C 91, 034907 (2015); A . Bzdak and V. Koch, PRC91, 027901 (2015). T. Nonaka et al., PRC95, 064912 (2017). M. Kitazawa and X. Luo, PRC96, 024910 (2017).

Review article : X. Luo and N. Xu, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 28, 112 (2017). [arXiv: 1701.02105]



Effects of Finite Detection Efficiency



Efficiency Response Function :

$$B(n; N, \varepsilon) = \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} \varepsilon^n (1-\varepsilon)^{N-n}$$

With the total produced multiplicity N and the detector efficiency ε , the probability of detected number of particles can be treated as a binomial process.

$$p(n_{p}, n_{\bar{p}}) = \sum_{N_{p}=n_{p}}^{\infty} \sum_{N_{\bar{p}}=n_{\bar{p}}}^{\infty} P(N_{p}, N_{\bar{p}}) \times \frac{N_{p}!}{n_{p}! (N_{p} - n_{p})!} (\varepsilon_{p})^{n_{p}} (1 - \varepsilon_{p})^{N_{p} - n_{p}} \times \frac{N_{\bar{p}}!}{n_{\bar{p}}! (N_{\bar{p}} - n_{\bar{p}})!} (\varepsilon_{\bar{p}})^{n_{\bar{p}}} (1 - \varepsilon_{\bar{p}})^{N_{\bar{p}} - n_{\bar{p}}}$$



Efficiency Correction and Error Estimation



$$error(S\sigma) \propto \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon^{3/2}}$$

 $error(\kappa\sigma^2) \propto \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$

1. Error Estimation: Delta theorem or Bootstrap.

2. Efficiency Correction : Based on analytical formula derived from factorial moments by assuming binomial response function for detector efficiency

$$F_{u,v,j,k}(N_{p_1}, N_{p_2}, N_{\bar{p}_1}, N_{\bar{p}_2}) = \frac{f_{u,v,j,k}(n_{p_1}, n_{p_2}, n_{\bar{p}_1}, n_{\bar{p}_2})}{(\varepsilon_{p_1})^u (\varepsilon_{p_2})^v (\varepsilon_{\bar{p}_1})^j (\varepsilon_{\bar{p}_2})^k}$$

A. Bzdak and V. Koch, PRC91,027901(2015),
PRC86, 044904(2012).
X. Luo, PRC91, 034907 (2015).
T. Nonaka, et al., PRC95, 064912 (2017).



Efficiency for Proton and Anti-proton



Net-Proton, Proton and Anti-Proton Cumulants (C₁~C₄)



- 1. Efficiency corrections are important for both value and statistical errors.
- 2. Generally, cumulants are linearly increasing with $\langle N_{part} \rangle$.
- 3. At low energies, the proton cumulants are close to net-proton.



Energy Dependence of Net-Proton Fluctuations



Colliding Energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)

Clear non-monotonic energy dependence is observed in the fourth order net-proton fluctuations in 0-5% central Au+Au collisions.



First observation of the non-monotonic energy dependence of fourth order net-proton fluctuations. Hint of entering Critical Region ??

STAR Data



σ field Model



Critical signal: Oscillation Structure

STAR, PRL105,022302 (2010); PRL112,032302 (2014). STAR, CPOD2014 and QM2015

M. A. Stephanov, PRL102, 032301 (2009). M. A. Stephanov, PRL107, 052301 (2011).



NJL Model Calculations



- 1) Due to large mass of s quark, CP Signals in Q and S are much smaller than B.
- 2) Forth and third order fluctuations have very different behavior.

W. K. Fan, X. Luo, H.S. Zong, IJMPA 32, 1750061 (2017). JW Chen, JDeng et al., PRD93, 034037 (2016), PRD95, 014038 (2017)

10 20 100 200 6 √s (GeV) (f) (e) $m_2(B)$ $m_2(Q)$ $m_2(S)$ 4.0 2.0 3.0 m2(B) 0% 12(S) 2.0 0.5 0.5 10 100 200 20 10 100 200 20 100 200 √s (GeV) √s (GeV) √s (GeV)

1200

 $m_1(S)$



Acceptance Dependence



B. Ling, M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. C 93, 034915 (2016).
A. Bzdak, V. Koch, Phys.Rev. C95, 054906 (2017)
M. Kitazawa, X. Luo, PRC96, 024910 (2017).

Signals can be enhanced by enlarging the acceptance.



Transport Model Results : Net-Proton $\kappa\sigma^2$



At $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 10$ GeV: Data: $\kappa \sigma^2 > 1$ Model: $\kappa \sigma^2 < 1$ > Model simulation :*All suppress the net-proton fluctuations.* (*UrQMD, AMPT, HRG, JAM cannot reproduce data*)

- Z. Feckova, J. Steonheimer, B. Tomasik, M. Bleicher, PR<u>C92</u>, 064908(2015). J. Xu, S. Yu, F. Liu, X. Luo, PR<u>C94</u>, 024901(2016). X. Luo *et al*, NP<u>A931</u>, 808(14), P.K. Netrakanti *et al.* 1405.4617, NP<u>A947</u>, 248(2016), P. Garg *et al.* Phys. Lett. <u>B726</u>, 691(2013). S. He and X. Luo, PLB
- 2) Baron Mean field: S. He, X. Luo, Y. Nara, S. Esuimi, N. Xu, Phys.Lett. B762 (2016) 296-300.
- 3) Proton Cluster formation: A. Bzdak, V. Koch, V. Skokov, Eur. Phys. J., C77, 288(2017)



Future Plan for QCD Critical Point Search



- 1. Need precision measurement between 7.7 to 20 GeV
- 2. Need lower energy data points.

CBM/STAR FXT/HADES/NICA Experiments



STAR Upgrades for BES Phase-II



- 1) Enlarge rapidity acceptance
- 2) Improve particle identification
- 3) Enhance centrality/EP resolution

iTPC, EPD, eTOF Dedicated two runs at RHIC: 2019 & 2020

Xiaofeng Luo

1st Symposium on Intermediate-energy Heavy Ion Collisions, Tsinghua, Beijing, China



2019-2020: BES-II at RHIC

| √s _{NN} (GeV) | Events (10 ⁶) | BES II / BES I | μ _B (MeV) | T _{CH} (MeV) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 200 | 350 | 2010 | 25 | 166 |
| 62.4 | 67 | 2010 | 73 | 165 |
| 54.4 | 1200 | 2017 | 83 | 165 |
| 39 | 39 | 2010 | 112 | 164 |
| 27 | 70 | 2011 | 156 | 162 |
| 19.6 | 400 / 36 | 2019-20 / 2011 | 206 | 160 |
| 14.5 | 300 / 20 | 2019-20 / 2014 | 264 | 156 |
| 11.5 | 230 / 12 | 2019-20 / 2010 | 315 | 152 |
| 9.2 | 160 / 0.3 | 2019-20 / 2008 | 355 | 140 |
| 7.7 | 100 / 4 | 2019-20 / 2010 | 420 | 140 |

BES-II: Precise mapping the QCD phase diagram 200 < µ_B < 420MeV



FXT Experiments at STAR (2018-2019)





Clear non-monotonic energy dependence is observed in the netproton kurtosis at most central Au+Au collision. A hint of entering critical region. Need to confirm with more statistics and lower energies data.

- Model simulation (No CP) indicates: Baryon conservations, Meanfield potential, hadronic scattering, Deuteron formation. All suppress the net-proton fluctuations.
- Within current uncertainties, net-charge and net-kaon fluctuations show flat energy dependent. Need more statistics.
- Study the QCD phase structure at high baryon density with high precision:

(1) BES-II at RHIC (2019-2020, both collider and fix target mode).

- (2) Fix-target at low energies (BES-III): CBM, NICA, CEE, JPARC.
- (3) Need dynamical modeling of the critical fluctautions in HIC.



Thank you !

Xiaofeng Luo 1st Symposium on Intermediate-energy Heavy Ion Collisions, Tsinghua, Beijing, China