Neutron stars radius measurements: New results and future prospects,

> but I'll talk about masses too...

Sebastien Guillot

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Some collaborators R. Rutledge, N. Webb, M. Servillat F. Özel, C. Heinke, D. Psaltis, T. Güver J. Margueron, N. Baillot-d'Étivaux

The nuclear matter equation of state is still unknown and many proposed theories exist.



Measuring RNS

Presented at NuSYM 2013

Guillot et al. 2013 Guillot & Rutledge 2014



Low-mass X-ray binaries experience high- and low-accretion states.





Quiescent low-mass X-ray binaries are ideal systems for Mass-Radius measurements.



qLMXB

The atmosphere of the neutron star in a qLMXB is composed of pure hydrogen.

H-atmosphere thermal emission seen by observer





Helium

The thermal emission from a NS surface is modelled with non-magnetic NS atmosphere models.

Models by Zavlin et al. (1996), Heinke et al. (2006), Haakonsen et al. (2012)



The first R_{NS} measurement was obtained from the known (bursting) <u>field LMXB</u> Cen X-4 observed during quiescence



The solution consists in measuring R_{NS} from quiescent LMXBs hosted inside globular clusters



Curious about distances? Ask me!



The first <u>globular cluster qLMXB</u> was discovered in Omega Centauri



Quiescent LMXBs are routinely used for M_{NS} - R_{NS} measurements, but only place weak constraints on the dense matter EoS.



Quiescent LMXBs are routinely used for M_{NS}-R_{NS} measurements.



We simultaneously fitted the spectra of 6 qLMXBs with a H-atmosphere model.



One radius to fit them all! Five parameters per target:

> Temperature T_{eff} Mass M_{NS} Galactic absorption Distance of host GC Power-law component



Spectral fitting with Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo

If the EoS is "quasi-vertical" in M_{NS}-R_{NS} space, our most conservative radius measurement provides constraints.



R_{NS} in the 7.6-11.3 km range at the 99%-confidence level Guillot et al. 2013, Guillot & Rutledge 2014

One of our 6 sources creates tension with the others.



Using recent distance measurements to the globular clusters, R_{NS} is increased by ~10%.

$F_X \propto (R_\infty/D)^2$



Guillot & Rutledge 2014

$$R_{\rm NS} = 9.4^{+1.9}_{-1.8} \,\rm km$$

Same sources, updated distances for MI3, NGC 6397 and ωCen:

$$R_{\rm NS} = 10.3^{+1.9}_{-1.7} \,\rm km$$

We are waiting for GAIA's data releases.

# of stars168383513— μ_{RA} -4998.7 pm0.8 μ as/yr-4993±3 μ as/yr-5000 μ as/yr μ_{Dec} -5000.2 pm0.7 μ as/yr-4994±3 μ as/yr-5000 μ as/yr π 199.7±0.7 μ as101.2±1.4 μ as200/100 μ asD5 007±0 007 kmc0 007±0 017 kmc5/10 kmc	Property	Easy cluster	Difficult cluster	true (input) value
$D = 5.00/\pm 0.00/$ kpc $9.99/\pm 0.01/$ kpc $3/10$ kpc	# of stars μ_{RA} μ_{Dec} π D	16838 -4998.7 <i>pm</i> 0.8 μas/yr -5000.2 <i>pm</i> 0.7 μas/yr 199.7±0.7 μas 5.007±0.007 kpc	3513 -4993±3 μas/yr -4994±3 μas/yr 101.2±1.4 μas 9.997±0.017 kpc	–5000 μas/yr –5000 μas/yr 200/100 μas 5/10 kpc

Pancino et al., 2013





Other unknowns can bias the measurements of the neutron star radius, so we made some assumptions.



The choice of NS atmosphere composition significantly changes the measured R_{∞} , and therefore the M_{NS}-R_{NS} contours.



Heinke et al. 2014

99%

16

90%

14

An instrumental effects (pile-up) is affecting the observed spectra of NGC 6397.



Curious about <u>pile-up</u>? Ask me!

The constant R_{NS} solution moves up a bit more when pile-up modelling is included.



Guillot et al., in prep.

Measuring RNs

Using a Markov-Chain Monte Carlo method to fit the X-ray spectra of 6 neutron stars, assuming:

 their atmosphere is composed of pure hydrogen,

 $R_{\rm NS} = 10.8^{+1.8}_{-1.4} \,\rm km$

 the radius is the same for all neutron stars.

Guillot et al., in prep.

Using the same MCMC approach, I can also test a "more advanced" toy-model.



This MCMC approach is used to sample the parameter space of <u>straight EOS</u>.



Guillot et al., in prep.

The distribution of EoS slightly favours positive slopes, but not significantly.



Guillot et al., in prep. There are several complementary ways to improve constraints on the equation of state from qLMXBs observations.

Deeper X-ray observations to increase the signal-to-noise of the X-ray spectra

> More precise distance measurements of the host stellar clusters

Independent measurement of neutron star mass

Determine the composition of the neutron star atmosphere Use of realistic parameterizations of the equation of state. See <u>Ozel et al. 2016</u> and <u>Lattimer and Steiner 2014</u>

Curious about Lattimer and Steiner (2014)? Ask me!

Another method to measure M_{NS}/R_{NS}

with a different class of neutron stars.

Analyzing the pulsed emission caused by hot spots on a rotating neutron star can be used to measure the compactness.

Thermal Lightcurve Mode



The Neutron Star Interior Composition Explorer will measure M-R very precisely.

NICER Launch: Feb. 2017





What about the largest neutron star masses?

Only new M_{NS} measurements larger than previous ones improve constraints on the dense matter equation of state



Other measurements with masses over $2 M_{\odot}$ suffer from systematics.





Other measurements with masses over $2 \ M_{\odot}$ suffer from systematics.



The Five hundred meters Aperture Spherical Telescope (FAST) will provide a leap in sensitivity for pulsar timing.



Summary

Quiescent LMXBs are stable objects from which the surface thermal emission allows us to measure the radius.

Because we actually measure R_{∞} , constraints on the EoS from an individual qLMXB are limited.

But they can be combined, with some <u>simple or more</u> <u>complicated parameterization</u> of the EoS to extract constraints

There are systematics affecting the measurements, but more observations can help us understand them.

Recent progress led to <u>higher radii</u> which relaxes the tension with nuclear physics and other astrophysical measurements

 $R_{\rm NS} = 10.8^{+1.8}_{-1.4} \,\rm km$





M_{NS}-R_{NS} contours can be combined to parametrize the EoS.

Lattimer & Steiner 2014

method demonstrated in Steiner et al 2010

Type I X-ray bursts







Rescaled M-R contour of qLMXBs from Guillot et al. 2013

M_{NS}-R_{NS} contours can be combined to parametrize the EoS.

Lattimer & Steiner 2014



We combined M_{NS}-R_{NS} measurements from qLMXBs and type I X-ray bursts to place constraints on the pressure at three fiducial densities.



P1, **P2** and **P3** measured a **1.85** ρ_0 , **3.7** ρ_0 and **7.4** ρ_0



Radius (km)

We combined M_{NS} - R_{NS} measurements from qLMXBs and type I X-ray bursts to place constraints on the pressure at three fiducial densities, leading to a unique equation of state.





Distance to globular clusters are not trivial to obtain and can be severely affected by systematics.

Photometric distances

Aside



Dynamical distances



projected velocity dispersion in arcsec/year



radial velocity dispersion in km/sec

Relating apparent magnitude to absolute magnitude

For some qLMXBs, instrumental effects may also bias the radius measurements.



Pile-up of photons on Chandra's CCD shifts the peak of the thermal spectrum, and affects the measured R_∞ by about 10%

Bogdanov et al. 2016

Non-Equilibrium Processes in the Outer Crust									
Beginning with 56 Fe (Haensel & Zdunik 1990, 2003, 2008)									
$ ho^{\mathrm{a}}$	Process	Type	$X_n{}^{\mathrm{b}}$	Q^{c}					
$(g cm^{-3})$		of reaction		(keV)					
1.49×10^{9}	$^{56}\mathrm{Fe} ightarrow ^{56}\mathrm{Cr} - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	e^- capture	0.00	40.7					
1.11×10^{10}	$^{56}\mathrm{Cr} ightarrow ^{56}\mathrm{Ti} - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	e^- capture	0.00	35.8					
7.85×10^{10}	$^{56}\mathrm{Ti} ightarrow {}^{56}\mathrm{Ca} - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	e^- capture	0.00	47.3					
2.50×10^{11}	$^{56}\mathrm{Ca} ightarrow ^{56}\mathrm{Ar} - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	e^- capture	0.00	46.1					
6.11×10^{11}	${}^{56}\mathrm{Ar} o {}^{52}\mathrm{S} + 4n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.00	59.8					
9.075×10^{11}	$^{52}\mathrm{S} ightarrow ^{46}\mathrm{Si} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.07	128.0					
1.131×10^{12}	${}^{46}{ m Si} \rightarrow {}^{40}{ m Mg} + 6n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e$	n emission	0.18	143.5					
1.455×10^{12}	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	<i>n</i> emission pycnonuclear	0.39	507.9					
1.766×10^{12}	$^{68}\mathrm{Ca} ightarrow ^{62}\mathrm{Ar} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.45	65.8					
2.134×10^{12}	${}^{62}\mathrm{Ar} o {}^{56}\mathrm{S} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.45	71.6					
2.634×10^{12}	${}^{56}{ m S} ightarrow {}^{50}{ m Si} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.50	77.9					
3.338×10^{12}	$^{50}\mathrm{Si} \rightarrow {}^{44}\mathrm{Mg} + 6n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e$	n emission	0.55	84.6					
4.379×10^{12}	$ \begin{array}{c} {}^{44}\mathrm{Mg} \rightarrow {}^{36}\mathrm{Ne} + 8n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e \\ {}^{36}\mathrm{Ne} + {}^{36}\mathrm{Ne} \rightarrow {}^{72}\mathrm{Ca} \\ {}^{72}\mathrm{Ca} \rightarrow {}^{66}\mathrm{Ar} + 6n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e \end{array} $	n emission pycnonuclear n emission	0.61	308.8					
5.839×10^{12}	$^{66}\mathrm{Ar} \rightarrow ^{60}\mathrm{S} + 6n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e$	n emission	0.70	29.5					
7.041×10^{12}	${}^{60}\mathrm{S} \rightarrow {}^{54}\mathrm{Si} + 6n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e$	n emission	0.73	31.0					
8.980×10^{12}		n emission pycnonuclear n emission	0.80	135.1					
1.057×10^{13}	$^{94}\mathrm{Cr} ightarrow {}^{88}\mathrm{Ti} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.81	11.5					
1.254×10^{13}	$^{88}\mathrm{Ti} \rightarrow {}^{82}\mathrm{Ca} + 6n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e$	n emission	0.82	11.3					
1.506×10^{13}	$^{82}\mathrm{Ca} ightarrow ^{76}\mathrm{Ar} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.84	10.9					
1.838×10^{13}	$^{76}{ m Ar} ightarrow {}^{70}{ m S} + 6n - 2e^- + 2 u_e$	n emission	0.85	10.0					
2.287×10^{13}	$\begin{array}{c} ^{70}{\rm S} \rightarrow {}^{64}{\rm Si} + 6n - 2e^{-} + 2\nu_{e} \\ ^{64}{\rm Si} + {}^{64}{\rm Si} \rightarrow {}^{128}{\rm Ni} \\ {}^{128}{\rm Ni} \rightarrow {}^{126}{\rm Ni} + 2n \end{array}$	n emission pycnonuclear n emission	0.87	67.3					
2.784×10^{13}	$126 \text{Ni} \rightarrow 124 \text{Fe} \pm 2n - 2e^- \pm 2\mu$	n emission	0.88	25					

 $^{124}\text{Fe} \rightarrow ^{122}\text{Cr} + 2n - 2e^- + 2\nu_e$

0.89

2.4

 $n \, {\rm emission}$

 3.493×10^{13}

The thermal emission from qLMXB is powered by Deep Crustal Heating.

Brown et al. 1998



Not all globular cluster qLMXBs are useful. This is because of large distances and/or absorption.

Globular Cluster	Distance (kpc)	Absorption (N _H in 10 ²² cm ⁻²)	qLMXB	"Useful"	Difficulties	Need Chandra
ωCen	4.59	0.09	I			NO
M13	7.1	0.01				NO
M28	5.5	0.26	I		<u>Moderate pile-up</u>	YES
NGC 6304	6.2	0.27	I			YES
NGC 6397	2.3	0.14				YES
47 Tuc	4.5	0.03	2 (+3?)		<u>Important pile-up</u>	YES
M30	9	0.01	I		Large distance	YES
NGC 6553	6	0.35	I		NEEDS TO BE CONFIRMED	YES
M80	10.3	0.09	2		Large distance	YES
NGC 362	8.6	0.03	I		Large distance	YES
NGC 2808	9.6	0.82	I		Large distance and N_{H}	YES
NGC 3201	5	1.17			Very Large N _H	NO
NGC 6440	8.5	0.7	8		Large distance and N_{H}	YES
Terzan 5	8.7	1.2	4		Large distance and N_H	YES

Unconstrained R_{∞} measurements

NGC 6397 with Helium and Pile-up



Straight line EoS: Radius at 1.4 Msun

