Nernst effect in high-$T_c$ superconductors
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The observation of a large Nernst signal $\varepsilon_N$ in an extended region above the critical temperature $T_c$ in hole-doped cuprates provides evidence that vortex excitations survive above $T_c$. The results support the scenario that superfluidity vanishes because long-range phase coherence is destroyed by thermally created vortices (in zero field) and that the pair condensate extends high into the pseudogap state in the underdoped (UD) regime. We present a series of measurements to high fields $H$ which provide strong evidence for this phase-disordering scenario. Measurements of $\varepsilon_N$ in fields $H$ up to 45 T reveal that the vortex Nernst signal has a characteristic “tilted-hill” profile, which is qualitatively distinct from that of quasiparticles. The hill profile, which is observed above and below $T_c$, underscores the continuity between the vortex-liquid state below $T_c$ and the Nernst region above $T_c$. The upper critical field (depairing field) $H_{c2}$ determined by the hill profile (in slightly UD to overdoped samples) displays an anomalously weak $T$ dependence, which is consistent with the phase-disordering scenario. We contrast the Nernst results and $H_{c2}$ behavior in hole-doped and electron-doped cuprates. Contour plots of $\varepsilon_N(T,H)$ in the $T$-$H$ plane clearly bring out the continuous extension of the low-$T$ vortex liquid state into the high-$T$ Nernst region in hole-doped cuprates (but not in the electron-doped cuprate). The existence of an enhanced diamagnetic magnetization $M$ that survives to intense $H$ above $T_c$ is obtained from torque magnetometry. The observed $M$ scales accurately like $\varepsilon_N$ above $T_c$, confirming that the large Nernst signal is associated with local diamagnetic supercurrents that persist above $T_c$. We emphasize implications of the new features in the phase diagram implied by the high-field results and discuss relevant theories.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the quest to understand high-$T_c$ superconductivity in the cuprates, two related important issues are the nature of the pseudogap state, which appears at the temperature $T^*$, and the nature of the superconducting transition at the critical temperature $T_c$. Does the transition follow the familiar “gap-closing” BCS (Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer) scenario or the phase-disordering scenario in which thermally generated vortices destroy long-range phase coherence? The former case would imply that the pseudogap state is inherently antagonistic to $d$-wave superconductivity and competes with it. In the latter case, by contrast, the pair condensate, bereft of phase rigidity, extends high above $T_c$ into the pseudogap state. The two states are closely related, differing in a subtle way that is fundamental to the pairing mechanism.

The phase-disordering scenario, which lately has gained increased theoretical interest, is a three-dimensional (3D) version of the well-known two-dimensional (2D) Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition. There are many investigations of KT physics in 2D cuprates realized in ultrathin films or superlattices. A notable result is the detection of kinetic inductance above $T_c$ at THz frequencies.

In bulk cuprates, the Uemura plot provided early evidence that $T_c$ scales with the superfluid density inferred from muon spin relaxation ($\mu$SR), consistent with the phase-disordering scenario. Direct evidence for this scenario has been obtained from Nernst experiments on single crystals. When a flow of vortices is induced in a superconductor, an electric field appears transverse to the flow direction because of the Josephson effect. The Nernst experiment, which exploits an unusual symmetry of the vortex-current response, is capable of detecting vorticity with high sensitivity. A large Nernst signal $\varepsilon_N$ extending from below $T_c$ to a broad interval above $T_a$ has been detected in many hole-doped cuprates. The results have been interpreted as evidence for vortices existing above $T_a$ and—by direct implication—the phase-disordering scenario. See also Refs. 25 and 26.

In defining an extended region above the “$T_c$ dome” in which vorticity exists (which we call the “Nernst region”), the Nernst results are increasingly influencing the ongoing pseudogap debate. Nonetheless, acceptance of a vortex origin for $\varepsilon_N$ above $T_c$ is by no means unanimous; several models interpreting the Nernst results strictly in terms of quasiparticles have appeared. The difficulties may arise because the Nernst experiment is a relatively unfamiliar probe of superconductivity, with a checkered theoretical history. Moreover, the notion that vortex excitations exist high above $T_c$ in bulk samples goes against deeply entrenched ideas of the superconducting state derived from BCS superconductors. In this paper, we lay out in some detail the reasoning and evidence that have guided our thinking, with focus on recent measurements in intense fields.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section II explains our notation and concepts relevant to the vortex-Nernst effect. Section III sketches the phase-disordering scenario and the role of singular phase fluctuations. In Secs. IV and V, we give an introductory overview of Nernst results on optimally doped and underdoped cuprates, respectively. Section VI describes the characteristic hill profile of the vortex signal and the continuity of the vortex liquid phase across $T_c$, while Sec. VII discusses the anomalous $T$ dependence of the depairing field $H_{c2}$. The phase diagram is discussed in Sec. VIII. Recent corroboration of this interpretation from magnetization is summarized in Sec. IX. Nernst results in the
electron-doped cuprate are described in Sec. X. Theoretical issues are surveyed in Sec. XI. Finally, in Sec. XII we summarize the results and conclusions.

The standard acronyms are used to identify the cuprates: LSCO for La$_2$−$_x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, Bi 2201 for Bi$_2$Sr$_2$−$_x$La$_x$CuO$_6$, Bi 2212 for Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+y}$, Bi 2223 for Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{10+y}$, YBCO for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-δ}$, and NCCO for Nd$_{2−x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$. For brevity, ap, UD, OP, and OV stand for quasiparticle, underdoped, optimally doped, and overdoped, respectively. Where necessary, we distinguish vortex and qp terms by the superscripts “s” and “n”, respectively.

II. VORTEX-NERNST EXPERIMENT

The Nernst effect in a solid is the detection of an electric field $\mathbf{E}$ (along ±$\hat{y}$, say) when a temperature gradient $−\nabla T$ is applied in the presence of a magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$. The Nernst signal is defined as $e_N = E_x / (\nabla T)$ where $E$ is antisymmetric in $\mathbf{H}$. The Nernst signal is used to define the Nernst coefficient $\nu = e_N / B$ with $B = \mu_0 H$. Our focus here, however, is on the vortex-Nernst effect in type-II superconductors, where $e_N$ is intrinsically strongly nonlinear in $\mathbf{H}$. Instead of $\nu$, it is more useful for our purpose to discuss the Nernst signal $e_N(T, H)$.

We remark that, to produce in a ferromagnetic conductor a signal $e_N$ of the magnitude reported here ($\sim 0.1−1 \mu V/K$), one would need a magnetization $M$ of $10^4−10^5$ A/m. This is very far from the case in cuprates, where $|M| \sim 5−50$ A/m in the Nernst region. Hereafter, we focus on the vortex mechanism.

In the vortex-liquid state, a gradient $−\nabla T$ drives the vortices to the cooler end of the sample because a normal vortex core has a finite amount of entropy relative to the zero-entropy condensate (Fig. 1). Because of the $2\pi$ phase singularity at each vortex core, vortex motion induces phase slippage. By the Josephson equation $2eV_J = \hbar \dot{\theta}$, the time derivative of the phase $\theta$ produces an electrochemical potential difference $V_J$. We have $\dot{\theta} = 2 \pi N_v$, where $N_v$ is the number of vortices crossing a line $|\dot{\mathbf{y}}|$ per second. The Josephson voltage $V_J$ may be expressed as a transverse electric field $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{v}$ which is detected as the Nernst signal.

The peculiar symmetry here, in which a driving force along $\hat{x}$ produces—as the leading response—a conjugate current along $\hat{y}$ that is antisymmetric in $\mathbf{H}$, is specific to vortex currents. The Nernst effect is particularly suited to its observation. The sign of the Nernst signal is not intrinsically related to the sign of a charge (unlike the Hall effect). Fortunately, the Josephson equation, which dictates that $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{H} \times (−\nabla T)$, provides a sign convention for the Nernst experiment. We regard the Nernst signal as positive if it is consistent with vortex flow.

Generally, because $e_N$ is difficult to calculate from a microscopic model, a phenomenological description is often used. The force exerted by the gradient on the vortex (per unit length) is $f = s_\epsilon (−\nabla T)$ where $s_\epsilon$ is called the “transport entropy” (per length). Balancing this against the frictional force in steady state, we have $\eta \dot{s}_\epsilon = s_\epsilon (−\nabla T)$, where the damping viscosity $\eta$ may be inferred from the flux-flow resistivity $\rho = B \phi_0 / \eta$ with $\phi_0 = \hbar / 2e$ the superconducting flux quantum. The Nernst signal is then

$$e_N = \frac{B s_\epsilon}{\eta} = \frac{\rho s_\epsilon}{\phi_0}.$$ (1)

We may extract $s_\epsilon$ by measuring $e_N$ and $\rho$, but now all the difficulties attendant to $e_N$ reside in $s_\epsilon$.

In the vortex solid state (when $H_m$ is below the melting field $H_m$), the force due to the gradient $f$ is too feeble to cause vortex motion and $e_N$ is rigorously zero. In low-$T_c$ type-II superconductors, it is more practical to employ the Ettingshausen effect, which is related to the Nernst effect by reciprocity. In the Ettingshausen experiment, a current density $\mathbf{J}$ is applied $|\mathbf{m}|$ with $\mathbf{H}$. Vortex motion transverse to $\mathbf{J}$ produces a heat current which leads to a gradient $\nabla T$ detected as the Ettingshausen signal. The Ettingshausen coefficient is $Q_E = |\nabla T| / JH$. The advantage of the Ettingshausen experiment is that a large $\mathbf{J}$ may be used to depin the vortex lattice below $H_m$.

In theoretical treatments, the vortex Nernst and Ettingshausen effects are conveniently handled together as the current response of the system to $\mathbf{E}$ and $−\nabla T$. Imagine that we apply to a vortex system mutually orthogonal $\mathbf{E}$ field and temperature gradient in the plane normal to $\mathbf{H}$, and observe the response of the charge and heat current densities $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}^\theta$, respectively. As mentioned, the peculiar symmetry of vortex currents dictates that $\mathbf{J}$ is $\nabla T$ but $\mathbf{J}^\theta$ is $\eta \mathbf{E}$ whereas $\mathbf{J}^\theta$ is $|\nabla T|$. (These are the large current responses; the flux-flow Hall effect and thermopower related to vortex diffusion produce much weaker currents which we neglect, along with qp contributions.)

In linear response, $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}^\theta$ are given by

$$J_y = \sigma \mathbf{E} + \alpha_{xy} (− \nabla T),$$ (2)

$$J_x^\theta = \alpha_{xy} \mathbf{E} + \kappa (− \nabla T),$$ (3)

with $\mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, $−\nabla T$ $|\dot{\mathbf{y}}|$. Here $\sigma = 1/\rho$ and $\kappa$ is the thermal conductivity. The two off-diagonal Peltier terms are related by $T \alpha_{xy} = \alpha_{xy}^\theta$ by Onsager reciprocity. Setting $J$ to zero, we find that

$$e_N = \rho \alpha_{xy}.$$ (4)

which is to be compared with Eq. (1). Similarly with $J^\theta = 0$, the Ettingshausen coefficient is $Q_E = \alpha_{xy} / H \eta \kappa$. 

Fig. 1. The vortex-Nernst effect in a type-II superconductor. Concentric circles represent vortices.
In a Hartree-Fock approximation, Caroli and Maki found that \( \alpha_{xy}^I \) is proportional to the magnetization \( M \) for \( H \) close to \( H_c^I \) and \( T \to T_c \). Their coefficient of proportionality had an error which was later corrected. Here we express this relationship as

\[
\alpha_{xy}^I = \beta M \quad (H \to H_c^I),
\]

with the parameter \( \beta \) to be determined by experiment (\( \beta \) has dimensions of \( 1/T \)).

The charge carriers also produce a Nernst signal which we refer to as the quasiparticle contribution. The current density taking is given by the Peltier tensor

\[
\epsilon_{ij}^n = \rho^I \alpha_{ij}^n - \rho^I \alpha_{xy} \alpha^y,
\]

where \( \rho^I \) is the qp resistivity and \( \alpha^y = \alpha_{xx}^n \) is related to the thermopower by \( S = \rho^I \alpha^y \).

The observed Nernst signal is the sum of the vortex and qp terms—viz.,

\[
\epsilon_N = \epsilon_N^v + \epsilon_N^n,
\]

with the caveat that in Eq. (6) for \( \epsilon_N^n \), the total (observed) \( \rho, \alpha, \) and \( \rho_{xy} \) are used instead of the strictly qp terms.

In the hole-doped cuprates, the qp term is very small for \( T < T_c \). For the purpose of determining the onset temperature \( T_{onset} \) of \( \epsilon_N^n \), however, the qp term has to be carefully resolved. This involves measuring the thermopower \( S = \rho \alpha \), Hall angle tan \( \theta = \rho_{xy}/\rho \), and resistivity \( \rho \) in addition to \( \epsilon_N \). As this procedure has been described in detail in Ref. 20, we will not repeat it here. In what follows, we will not usually distinguish between \( \epsilon_N \) and \( \epsilon_N^n \), except when discussing NCCO in Sec. X.

Figure 2 shows the setup in our Nernst experiment. The samples used are high-quality cuprate single crystals of typical size of 1.2 \( \times \) 0.8 \( \times \) 0.05 mm\(^2\). One end of the crystal is glued with silver epoxy onto a sapphire substrate, which is heat-sunk to a copper cold finger. A thin-film 1 k\( \Omega \) heater, silver-epoxied to the top edge of the crystal, generates the heat current flowing in the \( ab \) plane of the crystal. The temperature difference \( \Delta T \) (0.3–0.5 K) is measured by a pair of fine-gauge Chromel-Alumel thermocouples. A pair of Ohmic contacts are prepared on the edge of the sample by annealing different kinds of conductive materials.

After the bath temperature is stabilized (to within \( \pm \)10 mK), the gradient is turned on. The Nernst voltage is preamplified and measured by a nanovoltmeter as the magnetic field is slowly ramped up. The uncertainty in \( V \) is \( \pm \)5 nV. To remove stray longitudinal signals due to misalignment of the contacts, the magnetic field is swept in both directions. Only the field-asymmetric part of the raw data is taken as the Nernst signal.

As expressed in Eq. (7), the Nernst signal is comprised of a large component \( \epsilon_N^v \) associated with the pair condensate and a qp component \( \epsilon_N^n \) from carriers. To date, the existence of \( \epsilon_N^v \) in the Nernst region above \( T_c \) has been confirmed in Bi 2212, Bi 2223, LSCO and YBCO, and Bi\(_2\)Sr\(_2\)La\(_2\)Cu\(_4\)O\(_8\) (Bi 2201). The interesting case of electron-doped Nd\(_{2-x}\)Ce\(_x\)CuO\(_4\) (NCCO) is deferred to Sec. X.

### III. Vortices and Phase-Disordering Transition at \( T_c \)

In this section, we sketch the phase-disordering scenario associated with the appearance of thermally created vortices, which has heavily informed the analyses of our Nernst-effect experiments. In the superconducting state, the pair condensate described by the macroscopic wave function \( \Psi = |\Psi| e^{i\theta} \) exhibits long-range phase coherence. The phase spontaneously selects a particular value which is rigidly maintained throughout the volume. The energy cost of local variations in \( \theta(\mathbf{r}) \) is given by

\[
\epsilon_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{d}^2 \mathbf{r} K_{\theta}(\nabla \theta)^2,
\]

where \( K_{\theta} = \hbar^2 n_s/4 m^* \) arises from the kinetic energy of the superfluid electrons, with \( n_s \) the 2D density and \( m^* \) the effective mass.

In the Kosterlitz-Thouless problem—the prototypical example of the phase-disordering scenario—vortex-antivortex unbinding at the KT transition temperature \( T_{KT} \) destroys long-range phase coherence and superfluidity, even though the pair amplitude \( |\Psi| \) remains finite. Random 2\( \pi \) jumps in \( \theta(\mathbf{r}) \) cause by (anti)vortex motion drive the thermally averaged order parameter to zero—viz.,

\[
\langle \Psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = |\Psi| e^{i\theta(\mathbf{r})} = 0.
\]

Generally, the phase-disordering transition \( T_{\theta} \) is proportional to the superfluid stiffness—viz.,

\[
k_{B} T_{\theta} = A_1 K_{\theta}(T),
\]

The dimensionless parameter \( A_1 \) has been investigated in detail (see tabulation in Ref. 50). In the KT problem \( A_1 = \pi/2 \) if \( K_{\theta} \) is evaluated at \( T_{KT} \). In the 2D XY model on a square lattice, \( A_1 = 0.9 \) if \( K_{\theta} \) is evaluated at \( T = 0 \). For the 3D XY model on a cubic lattice with intralayer (interlayer) exchange \( J \left( J_\perp \right) \), \( A_1 \) varies from 0.9 (\( J_\perp \ll J \)) to 2.4 (\( J_\perp = J \)).

From \( \mu \)SR experiments, Uemura and collaborators found that, in UD cuprates, \( T_c \) follows a universal, linear dependence on \( n_s/m^* \). Although originally discussed in terms
of boson condensation at \( T_c \), the Uemura plot—if reinterpreted as confirming Eq. (9)—provided initial evidence for the phase-disordering scenario. Very early, Baskaran et al.\textsuperscript{5} and Doniach and Inui\textsuperscript{6} noted that proximity to the Mott insulator implies that \( T_c \) in UD cuprates must be controlled by loss of phase coherence. The first detailed examination of this issue was provided by Emery and Kivelson\textsuperscript{4} who found that the ratio \( K_f/k_BT_c \) falls in the range 1–2 in most hole-doped cuprates (compared with \( 10^3–10^5 \) in low-\( T_c \) superconductors). This implies that phase fluctuations are of crucial importance in determining \( T_c \). Corson et al.\textsuperscript{16} measured the complex conductivity \( \hat{\sigma}(\omega) \) in two thin-film samples of Bi 2212 with \( T_c = 74 \) K and 33 K at THz frequencies and found that the kinetic inductance persists to \( \sim 25 \) K above \( T_c \) in both samples.

Fluctuations in \( \theta \) are of two types: analytical spin-wave fluctuations \( \Delta \theta_\theta \) and singular vortex-induced fluctuations \( \Delta \theta_v \).\textsuperscript{11} The singular fluctuations \( \Delta \theta_v \) are of specific interest here.

At \( T \) rises above \( T_{KT} \), the density of spontaneous vortices (antivortices) \( n_a \) (\( n_v \)) increases exponentially but the net vorticity \( n_a - n_v \) stays at zero if \( H = 0 \). The applied \( H \) increases \( n_a \) (say) to produce a net induction field \( B = (n_a - n_v) \phi_0 \).\textsuperscript{13} A detailed calculation of the KT magnetization \( M = B \mu_0^{1/2} H \) over a broad interval of \( T \) was recently reported.\textsuperscript{51} Above \( T_{KT} \), both \( M \) and the vorticity remain observable despite the vanishing of \( \langle \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \).

It is worth emphasizing that a weak interlayer coupling \( J_{\perp} \) can make the KT physics very difficult to see close to \( T_{KT} \). The 3D transition occurs above the KT transition by an amount\textsuperscript{52}

\[
\Delta T_c = T_{KT} \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln(1/\sqrt{J/\langle J \rangle})} \right)^2.
\]

Nonetheless, over a broad interval above \( T_c \), KT physics may be probed by high-resolution susceptibility (see Li et al.\textsuperscript{53} for recent magnetization measurements in Bi 2212).

In experimental studies of the KT transition in layered ferromagnets [e.g., \( K_\perp \text{CuF}_2 \) (Ref. 54)], the KT transition is preempted at \( T_c > T_{KT} \) by a 3D Curie transition because of a weak interlayer exchange \( J_{\perp} \) (\( J_{\perp} \ll J \), the intralayer exchange). Nevertheless, over a broad interval above \( T_c \), KT physics prevails. Analogously, in bulk cuprates, we expect the weak interlayer coupling to induce a 3D transition that preempts the KT transition. However, the KT description of vortex proliferation is valid over a broad interval above \( T_c \) (the Nernst region).

Close to \( T_c \), the 3D XY model is the appropriate description for bulk crystals. Extensive numerical simulations by Nguyen and Sudbø\textsuperscript{55} of the 3D XY model with moderate anisotropy \( \alpha = J_{\perp}/J \sim \frac{1}{2} \) clearly show that the helicity modulus \( Y = (s_m/m) \rho_s \) (where \( \rho_s \) is the superfluid density) is destroyed at \( T_c \) by the spontaneous appearance of vortex loops. Even with \( \alpha \sim \frac{1}{2} \), the simulations show a \( \sim 10 \) K interval above \( T_c \) where vorticity exists. These results were tentatively compared with YBCO, but simulations in the limit \( 1/a \gg 1 \) are desirable.

In the Nernst experiment, the flow of vortices and antivortices down the gradient generates signals of opposite signs. Hence the observed \( e_N \) picks up the difference in population \( n_a - n_v \)—i.e., the vorticity.

In the BCS scenario, fluctuations of the order parameter \( \Psi \) are (predominantly) fluctuations of the amplitude \( |\Psi| \) (from zero). The Gaussian approximation, in which only terms in \( |\Psi|^2 \) are retained in the action \( S \) of the partition function \( Z \), provides a good description of fluctuation diamagnetism measured in low-\( T_c \) superconductors.\textsuperscript{56} However, if singular phase fluctuations \( \Delta \theta_v \) are dominant in the destruction of superfluidity (as the evidence shows is appropriate in the Nernst region), the valid description is inherently non-Gaussian.

IV. OPTIMALLY DOPED CUPRATES

In the cuprates, early Nerns\textsuperscript{57–59} and Ettingshausen\textsuperscript{60} experiments were restricted to OP, bilayer cuprates. The results were largely confined to the vortex-liquid state below \( T_c \), and analyzed to extract vortex parameters such as \( s_y \) [Eq. (1)]. However, the existence of unusually large “fluctuation signals” extending 10–20 K above \( T_c \) was not observed in OP Bi 2212 and YBCO.\textsuperscript{57,60}

We start the description of our data from the OV-OP end of the doping window. Figure 3(a) displays the traces of \( e_N \) versus \( H \) at fixed \( T \), in a crystal of \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y \) (YBCO), with \( y = 6.9 \) and \( T_c = 92.0 \) K (this sample is slightly OV). As the melting field \( H_m \) is exceeded, the abrupt motion of a large number of vortices leads to a nearly vertical rise in \( e_N \) [panel (a)]. The signal reaches a broad maximum and then decreases slowly. The envelope of all these curves represents the maximum value that \( e_N \) attains in the temperature interval shown.

As \( T \) rises above \( T_c \), the maximum values of \( e_N(T) \) decrease markedly and the profiles become broader [panel (b)]. However, an abrupt transition is not observed in the Nernst signal. Instead, it retains its nonlinearity up to \( \sim 105 \) K. This is analogous to the Ettingshausen fluctuation signal reported in OP YBCO.\textsuperscript{60} Above 110 K, the curve of \( e_N \) is linear in \( H \) with a slope that changes mildly with \( T \), which we identify with the qp contribution \( e_N^Q \).

To show the fluctuation regime more clearly, we plot the \( T \) dependence of \( e_N \) measured at 14 T (Fig. 4) together with its zero-field resistivity \( \rho \). Clearly, \( e_N \) deviates from the qp background at \( \sim 107 \) K, or 15 K above \( T_c \). Similar measurements on OP bilayer \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) (Bi 2212, \( T_c = 91 \) K) are shown in Fig. 5(a). Owing to its extreme anisotropy, the vortex solid in Bi 2212 has a very small shear modulus \( c_{66} \). The melting field \( H_m \) remains small even as \( T < T_c \) (\( \sim 50 \) K). We also remark that, near \( T_c \), \( e_N \) displays a nonanalytic \( H \) dependence in weak \( H \). Above \( T_c \), \( e_N \) rapidly becomes much smaller in amplitude. Figure 5(b) displays the \( T \) dependence of \( e_N \) measured at 14 T together with the Meissner signal measured at \( H = 10 \) Oe in a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. The onset temperature \( T_{onset} \) of the vortex-Nernst signal is \( \sim 125 \) K, or 30 K above \( T_c \). The broader Nernst region in Bi.
NERNST EFFECT IN HIGH-\textit{T}_c SUPERCONDUCTORS

FIG. 3. Curves of the Nernst signal $e_N$ vs $H$ measured in slightly OV YBCO ($x=6.99, T_c=92$ K) at temperatures below $T_c$ [panel (a)] and above $T_c$ [panel (b)]. Below $T_c$, $e_N$ rises nearly vertically at the melting field $H_m$. Above $T_c$ (b), the negative $H$-linear contribution of the qp term $e_N^q$ becomes quite apparent.

2212 (compared to OP YBCO) reflects its extreme anisotropy.

The trilayer cuprate Bi$_2$Sr$_2$Ca$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{10+\delta}$ (Bi-2223) also shows similar extension of the Nernst signal above its $T_c=109$ K [Fig. 6(a)]. The overall behavior of $e_N$ vs $H$ is strikingly similar to that of the bilayer system [Fig. 5(a)]. The plots in Fig. 6(b) show that the Nernst onset temperature is around 135 K, $\sim 25$ K above the $T_c$.

The early results on OP cuprates in the “fluctuation” regime\textsuperscript{57,60} were deemed compatible with the prevailing expectation that, although fluctuations are strongly enhanced in cuprates,\textsuperscript{61} the data appeared to be adequately described by conventional Gaussian fluctuation theory.\textsuperscript{62,63}

V. UNDERDOPED CUPRATES

The Nernst signal above $T_c$, already considerable in OP cuprates, becomes even larger in the UD regime. We first discuss La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (LSCO).\textsuperscript{15} Figure 7(a) displays the Nernst traces in an UD crystal with $x=0.12$ and $T_c=28.9$ K. The results seem quite similar to that in optimally doped YBCO (Fig. 3). Below $T_c$, the curves, which display the characteristic profile of a “tilted hill” associated with vortex motion, are all enclosed within a smooth envelope curve. On closer examination, however, the data reveal an important difference. In OP YBCO, the maximum value of the $e_N-H$ curve taken at $T_c=92$ K is $\sim 0.38 \mu V/K$, less than 10% the maximum value attained by the envelope curve ($\geq 4 \mu V/K$) below $T_c$. Above $T_c$, $e_N$ rapidly falls to a negligible fraction of $4 \mu V/K$. By contrast, UD LSCO shows a different pattern of behavior. The bold curve in Fig. 7(a) is taken at $T=30$ K, slightly higher than $T_c$. Its value at 14 T, $\sim 4 \mu V/K$, is more than 50% of the maximum of the envelope and still increasing with field. Even at 50 K, more than 20 K above $T_c$, the signal is a sizable fraction of the maximum envelope value. A pronounced nonlinearity in the field is apparent in these curves. The Nernst signal decays quite slowly with temperature, becoming indistinguishable from the qp Nernst signal only above 100 K.

Figure 7(b) displays the $T$ dependence of $e_N$ taken at 14 T on underdoped LSCO, $x=0.12$, together with the magnetization curve measured at 10 Oe. The anomalous Nernst signal starts to deviate from the small qp background at $T_{onset} \sim 120$ K. The $T$ dependence of $e_N$ measured at 14 T shows a long “fluctuation” tail that extends to $T_{onset}$.

Moving to LSCO with smaller $x$ (0.07, $T_c=11$ K), we find that these anomalous features become enhanced (Fig. 8). The curve at $T=12$ K—1 K above $T_c$—displays a Nernst signal that is similar in overall magnitude to any of the curves below $T_c$. Indeed, curves taken at 20 K are comparable in magnitude with many of those below $T_c$. Similar results have been obtained by Capan et al.\textsuperscript{25} Hence, from the perspective of the Nernst effect, the boundary between the superconducting state and the normal state in UD cuprates is truly blurred. This poses a serious challenge to the conventional notion of “fluctuations.”

We next turn to the underdoped Bi 2212, which has been intensively studied by angle-resolved photoemission spec-
troscopy\textsuperscript{64,65} (ARPES) and scanning tunneling microscopy\textsuperscript{66,67} (STM) because the crystals can be cleaved cleanly. Nernst results on this system are particularly valuable. In Fig. 9, we show Nernst results on a very underdoped Bi\textsubscript{2}2212 crystal with $T_c=50$ K and hole density $x=0.09$. In close similarity with very underdoped LSCO ($x=0.07$), the curve measured at $T_c$ (bold line) has a peak value close to the maximum of the envelope [Fig. 9(a)]. Traces taken at $T$ higher than $T_c$ remain very large in magnitude and possess the strong curvature characteristic of the vortex state. An important feature of Bi\textsubscript{2}2212, both UD and OP, is the very small magnitude of the qp Nernst signal (the “background”). This allows the onset temperature $T_{\text{onset}}$ to be determined unambiguously. The $T$ dependence of $e_N$ in this UD sample indicates that $T_{\text{onset}}=118$ K, or about 65 K above $T_c$ [Fig. 9(b)].

**FIG. 5.** (a) The Nernst signal $e_N$ vs $H$ in OP Bi\textsubscript{2}2212 ($T_c=91$ K) at temperatures 50–130 K. The oscillations in $e_N$ in weak $H$ are reproducible and may be caused by plastic flow of the vortices. (b) The $T$ dependence of $e_N$ measured at 14 T (solid squares) and the Meissner curve (magnetization $M$ measured at $H=10$ Oe) in OP Bi\textsubscript{2}2212. The dashed line indicates the estimated negative qp contribution.

**VI. VORTEX-NERNST PROFILE AND CONTINUITY ACROSS $T_c$**

As described in Secs. IV and V, the vortex-Nernst signal versus $H$ displays a characteristic peaked form which we call a “tilted-hill” profile. This is quite apparent in electron-doped NCCO where the depairing field (10 T) is readily attained (Sec. X).\textsuperscript{22} In hole-doped cuprates, however, $H_{c2}$ is very large. The maximum field employed (14 T) in earlier experiments was barely enough to reach the peak of the profile. More recent measurements to fields of 45 T now provide a more complete view of the hill profile in Bi\textsubscript{2}201 and LSCO. The similarity with the profile in NCCO is striking.

**FIG. 6.** (a) Curves of $e_N$ vs $H$ in OP Bi\textsubscript{2}223 ($T_c=109$ K) measured at selected $T$. (b) The $T$ dependence of $e_N$ at 14 T compared with the Meissner curve ($M$ measured at 10 Oe) in OP Bi\textsubscript{2}223. Dashed line is the negative qp contribution.
As discussed, $e_N$ rises steeply when $H$ exceeds $H_m$. The vanishing of the shear modulus $c_{46}$ in the vortex solid allows the vortices to move down the gradient $-\nabla T$ to generate the Nernst signal as discussed in Sec. II. The steep rise in $e_N$ above $H_m$ is primarily driven by the increase in vortex velocity $v$, but also reflects the increase in the vortex density $n_v$. However, with increasing $H$, the magnitude of the magnetization $M$ decreases monotonically as $M \sim -\ln H$ over a broad interval of field above the lower critical field $H_{c1}$. In high fields, $|M|$ decreases to zero at the upper critical field $H_{c2}$, as $|M| \sim (H_{c2} - H)$ (see Sec. VII). From Eq. (5), $\alpha_{xy}$ should scale like $M$ in high fields, so that it should also approach zero as $\sim (H_{c2} - H)$. Combining the weak-field and high-field trends, we may understand the tilted-hill profile. Just above $H_m$, the steep increase of $v$ in the liquid state leads to a rapid increase in $e_N$ until $\alpha_{xy}$ encounters the ceiling set by a decreasing $M$. In high fields, the $H$ dependence of $e_N = \rho \alpha_{xy} \sim M(H)$ follows that of $M(H)$ since $\rho$ becomes nearly $H$ independent once $H$ exceeds $\sim 2H_m$ (in LSCO and YBCO; in Bi 2201 and Bi 2212, the saturation in $\rho$ is much more gradual).

A similar hill profile is observed in the Ettingshausen signal in the superconductor PbIn (Fig. 10). The signal rises steeply to a maximum when the vortex lattice is depinned. As $H$ approaches $H_{c2}$, the signal decreases to zero $\sim (H_{c2} - H)$. The small “tail” above $H_{c2}$ is due to amplitude fluctuations. As discussed in Sec. II, the Ettingshausen signal $\sim \alpha_{xy}$ has the same $H$ dependence as $\alpha_{xy}$.

By contrast, the qp signal $e'_N$ is nominally linear in $H$ with a small $H^2$ correction observable only in high fields ($>20$T) at low $T$ ($<10$K). The short qp mean free path $\ell$ in hole-doped cuprates ($\sim 80$ Å at 80K) precludes any possibility of observing a hill-type profile in $e'_N$ even in fields 20–40 T.

Figure 11 shows Nernst curves measured in OP Bi 2201 in $H$ up to 45 T. The curves taken below $T_c$ (28 K) all display the tilted-hill profile. As $H$ is increased above $H_m$, $e_N$ rises steeply to a prominent maximum and then falls more slowly in high fields with a slope that is only weakly $H$ dependent. Significantly, when we exceed $T_c$, the curves retain the same hill profile (see curves at 30–45 K). In fact, the curves up to 65 K show the same nominal profile except that the maximum is quite broad. However, above $\sim 50$ K, the negative qp term $e''_N$ grows in significance and pulls $e_N$ towards negative values at large $H$.

Similar results are also seen at other dopings. In Fig. 12(a) we show Nernst results on OV Bi 2201 ($y_{La}$=0.2) with $T_c=22$ K. Even at $T=40$ K or $\sim 2T_c$, the curve retains the characteristic hill profile of the vortex signal. In underdoped
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Bi 2201 (yLa=0.7) shown in Fig. 12(a), the effect is even more dramatic. The curves remain strongly nonlinear up to 70 K. (b) Comparison of the T dependence of \( e_N \) measured at 14 T and the Meissner curve (\( M \) at 10 Oe) in UD Bi 2212. The vortex signal deviates from the qp background at \( T_{onset} \approx 118 \) K.

Bi 2201 (yLa=0.7) shown in Fig. 12(b), the effect is even more dramatic. The curves of \( e_N \) measured above \( T_c (=12 \) K) continue to show a vortex profile up to our highest temperature 30 K. The extension of the tilted-hill profile to T high above \( T_c \) implies that the same mechanism generating \( e_N \) below \( T_c \)—vortex flow—must be operating above \( T_c \).

A graphic way to represent the continuity between the Nernst region above \( T_c \) and the vortex-liquid state below \( T_c \) is the contour plot in the \( T-H \) plane.\(^{21}\) In Fig. 13, the gray scale represents regions with successively higher values of \( e_N \) in Bi 2201 (yLa=0.4). The black area (\( e_N=0 \)) is the vortex solid phase below \( H_m(T) \). If we increase \( H \) at fixed \( T<T_c (=28 \) K), \( e_N \) climbs rapidly just above \( H_m \) and attains a maximum (lightest shade), before dropping gradually towards zero at \( H_{c2} \) (solid squares are \( H_{c2}(T) \) values discussed in the next section). All the preceding figures displaying curves of \( e_N \) vs \( H \) are vertical cuts in the \( T-H \) plane. The maxima in the \( e_N-H \) curves define the ridge field \( H_{ridge}(T) \) (dashed curve in Fig. 13). As the pair condensate remains very large above \( H_{ridge} \), it clearly lies far below the depairing field \( H_{c2} \). We discuss \( H_{ridge} \) in relation to \( \rho \) in Sec. VIII.

The contour plot provides a global view of the tilted-hill profiles shown in Fig. 11. The strong curvature of the contour lines at high \( T \) implies that the hill profile is also observed above \( T_c \), as noted above. From the contour plot, it is clear that the vortex liquid state just above \( H_m \) smoothly
extends into the Nernst region above $T_c$. There is no phase discernible between the two regions; the vortex liquid state below $T_c$ spreads continuously to temperatures above $T_c$. Overall, the magnitude of $e_N/H_2$ changes very smoothly over the whole $T$-$H$ plane. The only indication of $T_c$ is the approach of the shallow contour minima towards 28 K as $H \to 0$. We refer the reader to Refs. 21, 23, and 24 for contour plots of LSCO and YBCO. The contrasting contour plot in NCCO is discussed below (Sec. X).

VII. UPPER CRITICAL FIELD

In the hole-doped cuprates, the upper critical field (or de-pairing field) $H_{c2}$ is a rather poorly established quantity compared with the other parameters of the superconducting state. On the one hand, flux-flow resistivity experiments have given a very low estimate of $H_{c2}$ (Refs. 68 and 69) ($\rho$ is discussed at the end of this section). On the other hand, it was widely believed that $H_{c2}$ in the cuprates is an inherently unmeasurable quantity.

A powerful advantage of the Nernst experiment is that it provides a direct determination of $H_{c2}$ that remains sensitive in intense magnetic fields. In type-II superconductors, as $H \to H_{c2}$ from below, the packing of vortex cores steadily reduces the volume fraction of the condensate in the interstitial “puddles” between cores. The coherence length $\xi$ is related to the upper critical field by $H_{c2} = \Phi_0 / (2\pi \xi^2)$. For fields just below $H_{c2}$, the supercurrent is $J_s = -eH/m \nabla |\Psi|^2 \hat{z}$

\begin{equation}
J_s = -\frac{eH}{m} \nabla |\Psi|^2 \hat{z}
\end{equation}

with $\hat{z}$. The (diamagnetic) circulation of the supercurrent $J_s$ around each of the interstitial condensate puddles generates a magnetization $M$ that is greatly reduced from its value near $H_{c1}$ and given by Abrikosov’s expression

\begin{equation}
M = -\frac{(H_{c2} - H)\hat{z}}{\beta_4 (2\kappa^2 - 1)},
\end{equation}

with $\beta_4 \sim 1$ and $\kappa = \lambda / \xi$, where $\lambda$ is the penetration length. Traditionally, the curve of $M$ vs $H$ has provided the most reliable method for finding $H_{c2}$.

However, in the cuprates, where $\kappa \sim 100$ and $H_{c2} \sim 50$–150 T, resolving the greatly suppressed $M$ in high fields has been a formidable challenge. Recently, though,
rapid progress is being made using high-field torque magnetometry (some of the new $M$ vs $H$ results are discussed in Sec. IX).

The Nernst experiment provides an alternative way to measure $H_{c2}$. As stressed in Sec. VI, the curve of $e_N$ vs $H$ has a characteristic peaked profile. On the high-field side, $e_N$ is driven inexorably to zero in proportion to the magnetization [Eq. (12)]. This is clearly seen in the Ettingshausen curve in the low-$T_c$ superconductor PbIn discussed in Sec. II (Fig. 10). The signal peaks near $0.8 H_{c2}$ and then decreases to zero as $(H_{c2} - H)$ (ignoring the high-field tail caused by amplitude fluctuations). The high-field end point of $\alpha_{xy}$ (or $\vec{\alpha}_{xy}$) may be used to locate $H_{c2}$.

In most cuprates, the values of $H_{c2}$ exceed the 45-T maximum available in current dc magnets. In analogy with the low-$T_c$ case, we assume that linear extrapolation of the high-field Nernst signal to zero gives a reliable determination of the scale of $H_{c2}$. Adopting this assumption, we have broadly applied high-field Nernst experiments to estimate $H_{c2}$ in several cuprate families.

First, we consider optimally doped LSCO ($\chi=0.17$, $T_c=36$ K). In Fig. 14, the extrapolation of $e_N$ at 20 K gives $H_{c2} \sim 50$ T. Figure 15 displays $e_N$ vs $H$ in this sample at selected $T$. An interesting trend that is immediately apparent is that, in high fields, all the curves below 20 K merge to a common line (dashed line). With $H_{c2}$ determined by linear extrapolation, we obtain the conclusion that $H_{c2}$ is almost $T$ independent from 5 K to 20 K. Unfortunately, in OP and OV LSCO, the qp negative background is moderately large above 20 K. As shown in Fig. 15, the $H$-linear qp term added to the diminishing vortex term “pulls” the observed $e_N$ to negative values. This prevents $H_{c2}$ from being readily estimated above 20 K in OP and OV LSCO. However, because $\alpha_{xy}$ is an entropy current, the qp term must decrease to zero as $T \to 0$ (this is shown for UD LSCO in Ref. 20). Hence it does not affect our estimate of $H_{c2}$ at low $T$.

Determination of $H_{c2}$ is most reliably carried out in single-layer Bi 2201 where the qp contribution is very small and $H_{c2}$ values slightly more accessible. Figure 16 displays curves of $e_N$ in optimally doped Bi 2201 ($y_{La}=0.4$). We show the linear extrapolations as dashed lines. Again, we see that

**FIG. 14.** The curve of $e_N$ vs $H$ measured at $T=20$ K in OP LSCO ($\chi=0.17$, $T_c=36$ K). The value of $H_{c2}$ is estimated by the dashed-line extrapolation of the high-field data to zero.

**FIG. 15.** The high-field Nernst curves in optimally doped LSCO ($\chi=0.17$) from 5 to 40 K. Below 20 K, all curves merge to the dashed line at high fields. As $T$ rises above 20 K, the qp contribution increasingly “pulls” the curves of $e_N$ to negative values in high fields. This effect is much less pronounced at lower $T$.

**FIG. 16.** Extrapolation of the curves of $e_N$ (dashed lines) to determine $H_{c2}$ values in OP Bi 2201 ($y_{La}=0.4$). The estimated values of $H_{c2}$ are virtually $T$ independent.
the curves all extrapolate to zero at nearly the same \( H \). This implies a \( T \)-independent \( H_{c2} \) value of 48±4 T for temperatures from 5 to 45 K. We remark that, quite independent of the extrapolations, the convergent behavior of the measured curves already reveals this surprising result. This trend is also seen at other dopings. The Nernst traces in overdoped Bi 2201 (\( y_{La}=0.2 \)) also exhibit this convergence (Fig. 12).

Curves of \( H_{c2} \) vs \( T \) in optimally doped and overdoped Bi 2201 are displayed in Fig. 17. Within the uncertainty of the data, the \( H_{c2} \) values in both samples are \( T \) independent from 4 K to well above \( T_c \). This behavior is in sharp contrast with low-\( T_c \) type-II superconductors where \( H_{c2} \) decreases linearly as \( H_{c2} \sim (T_c - T) \) near \( T_c \). The data show that the \( H_{c2} \) values continue nearly unchanged for a significant interval above \( T_c \).

This anomalous result is closely related to findings from ARPES (Refs. 64 and 71) that the gap amplitude \( \Delta_0 \) in Bi 2212 is nearly \( T \) independent below \( T_c \), and varies only weakly above \( T_c \). Tunneling experiments on Bi 2201 have also shown that the gap does not close at \( T_c \) but remains finite above \( T_c \). We may relate \( \Delta_0 \) to the Pippard length \( \xi_p \) by

\[
\xi_p = \frac{\hbar v_F}{a \Delta_0}
\]

(13)

where \( a \sim 1.5 \) for a \( d \)-wave gap (compared with \( \pi \) for an \( s \)-wave gap) and \( v_F \) the Fermi velocity. Assuming that \( \xi \sim \xi_p \) in our samples, we have \( H_{c2} \sim \Delta_0^2 \). Hence the constancy of the gap amplitude across \( T_c \) implies our finding that \( H_{c2} \) is constant across \( T_c \). In Ref. 22 the doping dependence of \( \xi_p \) inferred from ARPES is shown to be quantitatively similar to that of \( \xi \) obtained from the Nernst experiment. Finally, recent measurements of \( M \) vs \( H \) to 33 T have confirmed this anomalous constancy of \( H_{c2} \) across \( T_c \) in UD and OP Bi 2212 (Sec. IX).

As reported in Ref. 72, the gap amplitudes \( \Delta_0 \) in a slightly UD and an OV Bi 2212 are nominally \( T \) independent from low \( T \) to well above \( T_c \). It is instructive to compare these curves with our inferred \( H_{c2} \) vs \( T \) plot in Fig. 17. Both experiments imply that, in the cuprates, \( H_{c2} \) is nearly unchanged from low \( T \) to above \( T_c \).

As mentioned, the constancy of \( H_{c2} \) across \( T_c \) is strikingly inconsistent with the mean-field BCS scenario in which \( |\Psi|^2 \) vanishes at \( T_c \). By contrast, it supports strongly the scenario that the collapse of the Meissner state at \( T_c \) is caused by the loss of long-range phase coherence, with \( |\Psi| \) remaining finite above \( T_c \). The loss of phase coherence arises from the spontaneous generation of vortices and the resultant rapid phase slippage caused by their motion. The constancy of \( H_{c2} \) up to \( T_c \) implies that it actually goes to zero only at a much higher temperature (the mean-field transition \( T^0_{MF} \gg T_c \)). In the 2D KT transition, Doniach and Huberman\(^13\) have noted that \( H_{c2} \) (or the depairing field) must remain at a high value across the KT transition temperature \( T_{KT} \). In summary, the anomalous behavior of \( H_{c2} \) in the hole-doped cuprates strongly supports our vortex interpretation of \( \epsilon_N \).

The doping dependence of \( H_{c2} \) was initially investigated from the slightly UD regime to the OV regime. In Ref. 22, it was found that \( H_{c2} \) decreases systematically from slightly UD to OP to OV samples in Bi 2212, Bi 2201, and LSCO. The trend agrees with that of \( \Delta_0 \) measured by ARPES in Bi 2212 by Harris et al.\(^73\) and Ding et al.\(^74\) Further, the values of \( \xi \) inferred from \( H_{c2} \) vs \( x \) (Ref. 22) are consistent with \( \xi_p \) obtained from \( \Delta_0 \) and with the vortex core size observed by STM.\(^74\)

We have now extended these \( H_{c2} \) estimates over the whole doping range in LSCO in five crystals with \( x=0.05, 0.07, 0.12, 0.17, \) and 0.20. The values of \( H_{c2} \) determined at our lowest \( T \) (4.2 K)—which we identify as \( H_{c2}(0) \)—are plotted as solid squares in Fig. 18. As shown, the \( x \) dependence of \( H_{c2}(0) \) is nominally similar to that of \( T_{msec} \) (open circles). As \( x \) increases from 0.03, \( H_{c2}(0) \) rises very steeply to peak near 0.10 and then decreases more gradually towards 0 as \( x \rightarrow 0.26 \). (The values for \( x=0.10 \) are in agreement with the estimates reported in Ref. 22, but the steep fall on the low-\( x \) side was not investigated in that study.)

**Resistivity.** In low-\( T_c \) type-II superconductors, the flux-flow resistivity \( \rho \) provides a convenient means to determine \( H_{c2} \). If the applied current \( I \) is high enough to depin the vortex lattice, \( \rho \) increases linearly as \( B d\phi_l / \pi = (H / H_{c2}) \rho_p \) to reach the normal-state value \( \rho_p \) at \( H_{c2} \) (the Bardeen-Stephen law\(^19\)). Conveniently, \( H_{c2} \) is often flagged by a sharp notch minimum in \( \rho \) (the peak effect). The Bardeen-Stephen law is rarely—if ever—observed in cuprates. Just above the melting field \( H_m(T) \), \( \rho \) rises very rapidly to saturate at a value close to that of the pretransition \( \rho \) suitably extrapolated below \( T_c \). Two examples of \( \rho-H \) profiles are shown in Fig. 19 in OV LSCO (\( x=0.20 \)). Previously, attempts were made to identify the “knee” feature corresponding to this saturation with \( \rho(H_{c2}) \). The inferred curve of \( H_{c2} \) vs \( T \) invariably displayed the wrong curvature, together with anomalously low depairing fields (0.01–0.1 T) near \( T_c \). They are strik-
ingly incompatible with the $H_{c2}$ values obtained from the Nernst results. The field profiles of $\rho$ and $e_N$ at 22 K are compared in Fig. 19. As mentioned, the knee feature in $\rho$ occurs near $H_{ridge} \approx 5$ T. However, the vortex signal remains quite large above the knee, eventually decreasing to zero at the much higher $H \approx 48$ T. At 12 K, the knee feature in $\rho$ is much broader, but it occurs at $\approx 20$ T, still considerably below 48 T. The comparison emphasizes the fallacy of identifying the saturation of $\rho$ with a depairing field scale. The condensate amplitude remains robust up to considerably higher fields. We argue that the knee feature instead reflects the shrinking with increasing field of the length scale over which phase stiffness holds. This loss occurs in the field interval between $H_m$ and the $H_{ridge}$ curve [dashed line in Fig. 13]. In Bi-based cuprates this loss is quite gradual, whereas in OP-OV YBCO and LSCO it is abrupt (Figs. 3 and 14, respectively). Further, above $H_m$, the dissipation climbs much more rapidly than prescribed by the Bardeen-Stephen law. This rapid increase implies a very weak damping viscosity $\eta$ and is known as the fast-vortex problem (Sec. XI).

VIII. PHASE DIAGRAM, ONSET TEMPERATURE, AND MAGNITUDE

In the phase diagram of the cuprates, superconductivity occupies a dome-shaped region defined by the curve of $T_c$ vs $x$. The pseudogap temperature $T^*$ decreases monotonically from the scale 300–350 K to terminate at the end point $x_p$ (the Nernst experiments along with many experiments indicate that $x_p \sim 0.26$, but other groups favor $x_p=0.19$). As reported previously, in the phase diagram of LSCO, the onset temperature of the Nernst signal $T_{onset}$ falls between $T^*$ and $T_c$. As $x$ increases from 0.03, $T_{onset}$ rises steeply to a maximum value of 130 K at 0.10 and then falls more gradually to a value near zero at $\sim 0.27$ (Fig. 20).

We turn next to $T_{onset}$ in bilayer Bi 2212. In Fig. 21, we display the variation of $T_{onset}$ in the five crystals investigated to date. The hole density $x$ is estimated from the

---

FIG. 18. Variation of the low-$T$ upper critical field $H_{c2}(0)$ estimated at 4.2 K versus $x$ in LSCO (solid squares). The values are estimated by extrapolation of $e_N^s$ to zero from measurements in $H$ to 45 T. For comparison, we also plot $T_{onset}$ (open circles) and $T_c$ (solid circles). Lines are guides to the eye.

FIG. 19. Comparison of the field profiles of the flux-flow resistivity $\rho$ and the Nernst signal $e_N$ measured on the same sample, an overdoped crystal of LSCO ($x=0.20$) at $T=22$ and 12 K. Above $H_m$, $\rho$ quickly approaches saturation to the resistivity value extrapolated from above $T_c$ (this occurs near $H_{ridge}$ defined by the peak in $e_N$). However, $e_N$ decreases to zero at the depairing field $H_{c2}$ which lies much higher ($H_{c2} \sim 45$ T).

FIG. 20. The phase diagram of LSCO showing the Nernst region between $T_c$ and $T_{onset}$ (numbers on the contour curves indicate the value of the Nernst coefficient $\nu$ in nV/K T). The curve of $T_{onset}$ vs $x$ has end points at $x=0.03$ and $x=0.26$ and peaks conspicuously near 0.10. The dashed line is $T^*$ estimated from heat-capacity measurements.
The observed characteristic of vortex flow is completely absent. Instead, the higher.77
low 0.15.

In LSCO (Fig. 20), the Nernst region does not extend to the pseudogap temperature $T^*$ on the OP and OV sides. In the UD regime, $T_{\text{onset}}$ shows a decreasing trend as $x$ decreases below 0.15.

The empirical formula $T_c(x)=T_{c,\text{max}}\left[1-82.6(x-0.16)^2\right]$, with $T_{c,\text{max}}=91$ K.76 The curve of $T_{\text{onset}}$ shares key features with that found in LSCO. As in LSCO, the superconducting dome in Bi 2212 is nested inside the curve of $T_{\text{onset}}$ vs $x$ which lies under the curve of $T^*$. Whereas $T^*$ appears to continue to increase as $x$ falls below 0.10, $T_{\text{onset}}$ deviates downwards in qualitative similarity with LSCO. The interval between $T_{\text{onset}}$ and $T_c$ becomes systematically narrower towards the OV side, but it remains quite broad on the UD side. Interestingly, the maximum value of $T_{\text{onset}}$ ($\sim 130$ K) is close to the maximum in LSCO, despite the large difference in maximum $T_c$ in the two families. The maximum value in YBCO is $\sim 130$ K as well. However, in the Hg-based cuprates, evidence from torque magnetometry suggests that $T_{\text{onset}}$ lies higher.77

In the phase diagrams in Figs. 20 and 21, the nesting of the $T_c$ dome within the curve of $T_{\text{onset}}$ underscores once more the continuity of the region in which the vortex-Nernst signal is observed with the region under the superconducting dome. The high-temperature $e_N$ associated with vortices is observed only inside the superconducting dome. Once we move outside (either on the UD or OV side), $e_N$ becomes very small. In LSCO with $x=0.03$ and 0.26, the tilted-hill profile characteristic of vortex flow is completely absent. Instead, the observed $e_N$ is small and $H$ linear to fields as high as 33 T, which is characteristic of the qp current.

On the UD side, the rapid vanishing of the vortex-Nernst signal for samples with $x=0.03$, 0.05, and 0.07 has already been analyzed in detail in Ref. 20. Because the vortex signal is rapidly decreasing relative to the qp signal, it is necessary to measure the Hall angle and thermopower to separate out the two contributions to the off-diagonal Peltier term $\alpha_{xy}=\alpha_{xy}^q+\alpha_{xy}^n$.20

It is interesting to compare the Nernst signals at the two extremes of the $T_c$ dome. Figure 22 shows $e_N$ measured in UD LSCO [$x=0.05$, panel (a)] and in OV LSCO [$x=0.26$, panel (b)]. In both samples, $T_c<2$ K and the observed Nernst signal is weak. However, in the UD sample, $e_N$ retains the “tilted-hill” profile characteristic of vortices, whereas $e_N$ in the OV sample shows only the negative, $H$-linear qp contribution. The resistivity profile $\rho$ vs $T$ of the UD sample is shown in panel (c).

The doping dependence of the magnitude of the Nernst signal also reveals an interesting pattern that complements the previous point (that the vortex $e_N$ is confined to within...
the dome). Figure 23 displays the high-field Nernst results of six LSCO samples at various $T$. The hole density $x$ and $T_c$ values of these samples are 0.05 (0 K), 0.07 (11 K), 0.12 (29 K), 0.17 (36 K), 0.20 (28 K), and 0.26 (0 K), respectively (the $x$ and $y$ scales are the same in all panels).

In each sample, the Nernst curves are nested within an envelope which has a peak value. In the doping range $0.12 \leq x \leq 0.20$, the envelope peaks at $\sim \frac{1}{2}T_c$, whereas in very UD samples ($x=0.05$ and 0.07), it peaks above $T_c$. As $x$ increases, the peak value rises to the value $e_{N,\text{max}} \sim 8.3 \mu \text{V/K}$ near 0.12 and falls rapidly as $x$ reaches 0.26. The variation of the peak value $e_{N,\text{max}}$ with $x$ is summarized in Fig. 24, together with the curves of $T_c$ and $T_{\text{onset}}$ in the same samples.

The plots in Figs. 23 and 24 show that the large Nernst signal observed in LSCO crystals are intimately related to the $T_c$ dome. When we go beyond the dome on either side, the peak value $e_{N,\text{max}}$ falls rapidly towards zero. Examination of the panels in Fig. 23 shows that, in each sample, $e_{N,\text{max}}$ also dictates the overall scale of the vortex signal both above and below $T_c$. Hence we deduce that large Nernst signal derives from the superconducting pairs. In the UD limit where a large pair density cannot be sustained, or in the OV limit when pairing is absent, the vortex-Nernst signal vanishes, leaving only the usual qp term. The tight correlation between the overall amplitude of the signal and the $T_c$ dome plays an important role in refuting theories that interpret the large Nernst signal as caused by quasiparticles in some exotic state that abuts the superconducting dome (we discuss this in Sec. XI).

**IX. ENHANCED DIAMAGNETISM ABOVE $T_c$**

The evidence for vortices above $T_c$ described in the preceding sections would seem to be sufficiently compelling. However, for reasons already listed (Sec. III), it was desirable to seek evidence from nontransport experiments. In searching for other probes of phase fluctuations, we reasoned that, even if long-range phase coherence is destroyed by vortex motion, the large supercurrent $J_s$ circulating around the condensate puddles [see Eq. (11)] should persist on length scales slightly larger than the average vortex spacing $a_B \sim (\phi_0/B)^{1/2}$. Hence, above $T_c$, the magnetization must retain a weak diamagnetic term analogous to Eq. (12). This diamagnetism should be non-Gaussian and survive to 33 T and beyond if it is to be related at all to $e_N$. Although in previous studies of “fluctuation diamagnetism” in cuprates, a few reports found anomalous features that lie outside the purview of conventional Gaussian theory, the majority reported satisfactory agreement (and even a good fit) with Gaussian theory. Naughton has drawn our attention to his
The phase-fluctuating regime above \( T_c \) resembles those in low-\( T_c \) type-II superconductors. The \( T_{c} \) of 150 K at 14 T is robust in field, surviving to above 33 T. The robustness of \( M(\mu H) \) extends beyond the restricted regime of Eq. (5) found by Caroli and Maki, and may have rather broad generality. We also remark that even at such high \( T \), \( M \) is robust in field, surviving to above 33 T (Ref. 81) (the curves in Fig. 25 are displayed to 14 T). As noted in Ref. 81, the robustness of \( M \) here distinguishes it from “fluctuation” diamagnetism arising from amplitude fluctuation familiar in low-\( T_c \) superconductors. We emphasize the importance of the magnetization results in providing thermodynamic evidence that confirms the transport Nernst results and refer the reader to Ref. 81.

X. ELECTRON-DOPED CUPRATE

The electron-doped cuprate \( \text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_{4-y} \) (NCCO) provides an interesting counter example to the hole-doped cuprates. Although NCCO shares the layered structure comprised of CuO$_2$ planes, its phase diagram differs from that of the hole-doped cuprates. The 3D antiferromagnetic (AF) state extends up to \( x \sim 0.15 \), and the superconducting region is confined to a narrow doping range (0.15–0.17) abutting the AF state. A pseudogap phase has not been detected above \( T_c \) (below \( T_c \); a residual gap is detected if superconductivity is completely suppressed by a field; whether this is simply the AF gap is still an open question).

Figure 27 shows curves of \( e_N \) vs \( H \) in optimally doped NCCO (\( x=0.15 \) and \( T_c=24.5 \) K) between 5 and 30 K. In
pressed and holes and electrons are present, this cancellation is suppressed from panel /H20849 crystals. As given in Eq. /H20850, the vortex signal is displayed in Fig. 28. The change in sign of the normal-state Hall coefficient to the qp current. The presence of both bands leads to a great reduction of the vortex-Nernst signal at /Tc, the qp contribution to the Nernst signal is large. From early Hall-effect experiments as well as ARPES, it is known that both electronlike and holelike bands contribute to the qp current. The presence of both bands leads to a change in sign of the normal-state Hall coefficient /RH in OP crystals. As given in Eq. (6), the qp Nernst signal is the difference of two terms /pH and /pH . For a one-band system, cancellation between the two terms (dubbed Sondheimer cancellation) greatly reduces /pH . However, if both holes and electrons are present, this cancellation is suppressed and /pH becomes enhanced. A clear example was recently demonstrated by Behnia’s group in NbSe. A similar suppression of the cancellation exists in NCCO. At 30 K, the qp Nernst coefficient /rho is so rapid that at 28 K, the vortex contribution falls below our resolution. The behavior of /eN observed in low- /Tc superconductors, but contrasts sharply with that in the UD hole-doped cuprates. The narrowing of the hill profiles also implies that /HC2 is highest in /NCCO. In sharp contrast with results in hole-doped cuprates, the qp term actually dominates the Nernst signal at 6 K, far below /Tc. Nevertheless, as evident in Fig. 27(a), the vortex term retains its characteristic tilted-hill profile which is easily distinguished from the monotonic qp term. By fitting the latter to the form /eN(H)/eN(H) with /ci as fit parameters at each /T (dashed curves), we have extracted /eN(H), which are shown as open squares. The absence of a pseudogap correlates with the vanishing of the vortex-Nernst signal at /Tc and the termination of the /HC2 curve at /Tc (contrast with Fig. 13 for Bi 2201).

Fig. 27. (a) Curves of the observed Nernst signal /eN vs /H in OP /NCCO (x=0.15 and /Tc=24.5 K) at temperatures 5 K to 30 K. The dashed lines are fits of the high-field segments to a qp term of the form /eN(T,H)=c1/H+c2/H3. (b) The vortex-Nernst signal /eN extracted from panel (a) by subtracting /eN(T,H) from the observed signal. The contour plot of this vortex signal is displayed in Fig. 28.

Fig. 28. The contour plot of the vortex-Nernst signal /eN(T,H) in /NCCO (x=0.15, /Tc=24.5 K). The magnitude of /eN is highest in the light-gray region and zero in the black region below the melting-field curve /HM(T) (white curve). The dashed curve is the ridge joining the maxima of the curves of /eN vs /H. The upper critical field values /HC2 estimated from where /eN→0 are shown as white symbols. The absence of a pseudogap correlates with the vanishing of the vortex-Nernst signal at /Tc and the termination of the /HC2 curve at /Tc (contrast with Fig. 13 for Bi 2201).
to $H_c(T)$ in BCS theory. As discussed at length in Sec. VII, $H_c$ and $e_N$ remain at very large values in all hole-doped cuprates as we cross $T_c$.

Figure 28 also shows the contours of the vortex signal $e_N$ in NCCO. The contrast with the contour plot of Bi 2201 (Fig. 13) is instructive. Instead of spreading outwards to temperatures high above $T_c$, the regions of finite $e_N$ here are confined to the vortex-liquid state between $H_c(T)$ and $H_m(T)$, with the long axes of the contour ellipses roughly parallel to $H_c$. Above $H_c(T)$, $e_N$ cannot be resolved. We determined the melting field $H_m(T)$ (solid white curve) as the line at which $e_N$ first becomes detectable. The vortex-liquid state occupies a large fraction of the phase below the $H_c$ curve. Aside from this unusual feature, the phase diagram of NCCO is similar to that in a conventional low-$T_c$ superconductor.

The Nernst results in NCCO are valuable in two aspects. The modest depairing scale ($H_c < 10$ T) allows the full hill profile of $e_N$ to be easily distinguished even though it is riding atop a larger qp term. The juxtaposition shows unequivocally that there exist two very different contributions to the total Nernst signal of cuprates, each with its distinct field profile. The close similarity of the profile of $e_N$ to that of $e_N$ in Bi 2201 provides evidence that our procedure for extracting $H_c$ in the latter is sound.

More significantly, the comparison reinforces the point that the persistence of $e_N$ and $H_c$ above $T_c$ in the hole-doped cuprates is closely tied to the pseudogap phenomenon. In NCCO where the pseudogap is absent (above $T_c$), the vortex Nernst signal is also absent. Moreover, the curve of $H_c$ terminates at $T_c$. The comparison shows that the high-temperature Nernst phase is not generic to any highly anisotropic layered superconductor with a modest carrier density (the resistivity anisotropy in NCCO is comparable to that in LSCO and UD YBCO). It is inherently related to the physics of the pseudogap state in hole-doped cuprates.

**XI. DISCUSSION**

*States above and below $T_{onset}$.* In the cuprate phase diagram, the Nernst region represents an extended area in which vorticity—hence charge pairing—survives above the curve of $T_c$ vs $x$. In the hole-doped cuprates Bi 2201, Bi 2212, Bi 2223, LSCO, and YBCO, the Nernst results establish that $T_c$ is primarily dictated by the loss of phase coherence due to spontaneous vortex-antivortex unbinding in $H=0$. In the Nernst region just above the $T_c$ curve, the phase $\theta(x)$ is strongly disordered by rapidly diffusing vortices and antivortices, whereas closer to the curve of $T_{onset}$, fluctuations in the amplitude become equally important. It is important to note, however, that, in each cuprate family, the Nernst region does not extend all the way to the pseudogap temperature $T^*$. As shown in Figs. 20 and 21, $T_{onset}$ lies roughly between $T_c$ and $T^*$ for doping $x>0.10$. In the very UD regime ($x<0.1$), $T_{onset}$ falls steeply as $x\rightarrow 0$, whereas $T^*$ seems to continue to increase. Our data show that the Nernst region is nested within the pseudogap region. (The data do not seem to support the recent proposal$^2$ that the curves of $T_{onset}$ and $T^*$ actually cross near 0.19.)

This implies that, as we cool an UD sample from room temperature, the pseudogap state appears first at $T^*$ but is seen only in experiments that couple to the spin degrees. Cooling below $T_{onset}$ produces the signals $e_N$ and $M$, which result from the existence of vortices and a diamagnetic response, both distinct signatures of short-range supercurrents. It seems that, in order for the high-$T$ pseudogap state to coexist with $d$SC ($d$-wave superconductivity) over the broad interval $T^*>T>T_c$, the two states must be intimately related and be distinguished by a subtle difference. The Nernst region is where the system smoothly evolves or fluctuates between the two states.

Several interesting theories incorporating this subtle change have been proposed. According to Anderson,$^{34}$ the uniform resonating-valence-bond (RVB) state is stable above $T_{onset}$ but the spin triad defined by $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{\xi}$ (the self-energies derived from the magnetic interaction term) fluctuates strongly relative to the electron charge triad. At $T_{onset}$, the two triads lock to produce a vortex-liquid state that is, however, phase disordered (phase coherence occurs at $T_c$). In the SU(2) formulation of RVB, $^{2,87}$ the quantization-axis vector $\hat{I}(\theta, \varphi)$ of the slave-boson spinor

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

distinguishes the staggered-flux pseudogap state ($\theta = 0, \pi$) from $d$SC ($\theta = \pi/2$). Above $T_{onset}$, $\hat{I}$ points mostly towards the poles, but in the Nernst region, $\hat{I}$ fluctuates away from the poles, eventually coming to lie in the equatorial plane below $T_c$. In the striped model, the competing state involves quasi-1D dynamic stripes.$^3$ Vortex excitations are also fundamental to other recent theories of the pseudogap and charge-ordered states above the $T_c$ dome.$^{28,29,31-33}$ We anticipate that detailed experiments on $e_N$ and $M$ in intense fields, in combination with STM experiments above $T_c$, should allow these theories to be tested.

*Cheap and fast vortices.* An important issue raised by these results is the energy cost of creating the vortices. In the limit $k_\parallel \approx 1$ in BCS theory, the energy of a vortex line of length $d$ arises chiefly from the superfluid kinetic energy and is given by$^{70}$ $E_v = \frac{\phi_0 d}{(4\pi\mu_0\lambda^2)}\ln \kappa = \pi K_1 \ln \kappa$. Here, it is important to add to this the core energy $E_c$. The total energy $E_{pc}$ of a vortex pancake is then$^{2,30}$

$$E_{pc} = E_c + 2\pi K_1 \ln L/\xi.$$  (14)

As $T \rightarrow T_c$ from below, the superfluid term in $K_1$ vanishes. However, $E_c$ does not in the phase-disordering scenario. In BCS theory, $E_c$ is the loss of condensation energy inside an area $\hat{\xi}^2$—viz. $E_c \sim \Delta_0^2 \epsilon_F^2 / (\epsilon_F \sigma^2) \sim \epsilon_F^2$—in the clean limit$^2$ ($\epsilon_F$ the Fermi energy). Hence, $E_c$ is at an very high energy scale relative to $T_c$. Because the vortex unbinding temperature depends primarily on the stiffness term in $K_1$ in Eq. (14) and is insensitive to $E_c$, this observation does not affect $T_c$. However, a large ratio $E_c/k_BT_c$ implies that the spontaneous vortex density should remain very small over a broad interval above $T_c$ which is inconsistent with magnetization and transport experiments. The inconsistency has been used$^{2-27,30}$ to argue that the state stable inside the core is
actually much closer in energy to $d$SC than the true normal state (this is known as the cheap-vortex problem). Lee and co-workers propose that this is the sF state.2.30

As discussed in Sec. VIII, $\rho$ rises very steeply above $H_m$ to saturate near $H_{\text{ridge}}$ long before $H_{c2}$ is reached. Ioffe and Millis38 have investigated how proximity to the Mott insulator influences the coupling between quasiparticles and the supercurrent and dissipation inside the vortex core. They propose that a small damping $\eta$ results from the small number of states in the cores. The weak damping leads to a high velocity of the vortices transverse to $\mathbf{I}$ and a large flux-flow resistivity (or small vortex conductivity $\sigma^s$). Additivity of the vortex and qp charge currents39 implies that, eventually, the observed conductivity $\sigma^v + \sigma^s$ is dominated by the qp term $\sigma^s$. This seems to account for the steep rise of $\rho$ followed by rapid saturation.

**Gaussian limit.** As mentioned in Sec. III, phase fluctuations are classified as either analytical (spin-wave) $\Delta \theta_0$ or singular (vortex) $\Delta \theta_v$. The Gaussian-fluctuation theory, on an expansion in small $|\mathbf{V}|$ of the action $S$, leaves out the essential role of $\Delta \theta_v$, in destroying superfluidity. Ussishkin et al.40 have investigated the extent to which $e_N^s$ measured in LSCO may be described by Gaussian theory applied to a generic layered, extreme-type-II superconductor. In the 2D limit, they calculate that, above $T_c$, $\alpha_{xy}^s$ has the mean-field Aslamazov-Larkin (AL) form familiar from fluctuation diamagnetism—viz., $\alpha_{xy}^s \sim B(1-r)^{-1}$—which provides a reasonable fit to $e_N^s = \rho \alpha_{xy}^s$ in the OV regime [using the measured $\rho(T)$]. However, the Gaussian expression fits poorly in the OP and UD regimes even when unrealistically large values are used for the in-plane $\xi$.

Because Gaussian theory does not handle singular phase fluctuations and the phase-disordering scenario, it cannot describe the anomalous behavior of $H_{c2}$ described above. The poor fits in the OP and UD regimes are perhaps unsurprising. However, in a restricted range of temperatures just below the curve of $T_{\text{onset}}$ in Fig. 20 where amplitude fluctuations must be dominant it serves as a useful quantitative guide.90 Numerical simulations of the 2D time-dependent Ginzburg Landau (TDGL) equation show reasonable fits to the high-field Nernst results in OV LSCO.91

The separate issue of whether any generic quasi-2D superconductor should display a large Nernst signal above $T_c$ is interesting. The electron-doped NCCO, with an anisotropy and $\rho$ comparable to OP LSCO and $\xi_{ab} \approx 60 \text{ Å}$ 2.5 times larger, should display an even larger Nernst signal above $T_c$ (according to the Gaussian theory). However, this is not the case (Sec. X). The presence or absence of the pseudogap state is a much more important discriminant in cuprates.

**Quasiparticle models.** We discuss some of the proposed models in which $e_N$ above $T_c$ is attributed to quasiparticles. It has been argued35 that, if strong antiferromagnetic fluctuations exist in a Fermi liquid, vertex corrections cause the qp current $J_q$ to deviate from being normal to the Fermi surface and a consequent enhancement of $\nu$. Also, an enhanced qp $\nu$ is purportedly obtained in an unconventional $d$-density-wave ($d$DW) model,36 as well as from paired holes in "antiphase" domains in an antiferromagnetic state.38

These models introduce a rather exotic qp property or ground state tailored to account for the Nernst data in a restricted interval of $T > T_c$, but ignore the (known) correlations of the data with other properties over a much larger parameter space. For example, it is difficult to see how the qp signal can smoothly evolve into the vortex signal below $T_c$ (Fig. 13). It is equally difficult to imagine how the unusual qp states and properties abruptly cease to be effective once we move out of the $T_c$ dome (Fig. 24). The extended high-field results reported here compound these problems. The vortex-hill profile which persists above $T_c$ (Fig. 11), the scaling of $e_N$ with $M$ (Fig. 26), the anomalous behavior of $H_{c2}$ (Fig. 13), and the contrasting case of NCCO (Fig. 28) all present serious challenges for the qp models. (Further, a recent calculation39 has shown that the qp Nernst signal in the $d$DW state is actually too small to account for the observed $e_N$.)

Finally, in a proposed “bipolaron” model, even the Nernst signal observed below $T_c$ has been identified as coming from (“localized”) quasiparticles.39 The extreme view is proposed that $H_m$ represents the depairing field,69 so that the condensate is destroyed as soon as $\rho$ becomes nonzero. As we stressed in discussing $\rho$ in Sec. VII, loss of phase stiffness should be carefully distinguished from the destruction of the condensate. The ubiquitous “tilted-hill” profile observed below $T_c$ and the robustness of $M$ observed to intense fields73,81 provide simple, direct evidence refuting the basic assumption in this model.

**XII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

In the hole-doped cuprates, we uncover a large region above the “superconducting dome” in which an enhanced Nernst signal exists. The upper limit of the Nernst region is defined by $T_{\text{onset}}$ which lies nominally half way between $T_c$ and the pseudogap scale $T^*$ (Sec. VIII). The Nernst signal is consistent in sign and magnitude with the phase-slip $E$ field caused by a vortex current driven by the applied gradient (and incompatible with a ferromagnetic origin34 by orders of magnitude). At each $T$ within this region, the Nernst signal $e_N$ is manifestly nonlinear in $H$ and closely similar in shape to the tilted-peak profile that characterizes the vortex-Nernst signal observed below $T_c$ (Sec. VI). This profile is strikingly incompatible with a qp origin, given the very short qp $\ell$. Overall, the enhanced Nernst signal above $T_c$ displays a smooth continuity with the vortex-liquid state below $T_c$, which is best seen in the contour plot of $e_N(T,H)$ in the $T$-$H$ plane (Sec. VI).

An enhanced magnetization signal is observed above $T_c$ that scales accurately with $e_N$ measured in the same crystal (Sec. IX). The magnitude of $M$ is significantly larger than that anticipated from Gaussian fluctuations. Moreover, it remains robust to intense fields like $e_N$ even very near $T_c$. With the magnetization result, the vortex liquid above $T_c$ has now been detected by both transport and thermodynamic experiments.

The direct implication of these results is that the loss of superfluidity and the collapse of the Meissner state at $T_c$ occurs because long-range phase coherence is destroyed by
the thermal generation of vortices and antivortices, which implies that the pair amplitude $|\Psi|$ persists to temperatures much higher than $T_c$. This phase disordering is the 3D analog of the KT transition in 2D systems.

For this scenario to be self-consistent, the depairing field $H_{c2}$ must remain at a large finite value at $T_c$—as previously noted for the KT transition$^3$—instead of decreasing to zero as $(1-T/T_c)$. Utilizing the vortex profile for $\varepsilon_\chi$, we have determined that $H_{c2}$ behaves anomalously, remaining large as $T_c$ is crossed, consistent with the vortex scenario (Sec. VII). This implies that, in the plane $(T, H)$, the critical point $(T_c, 0)$ serves as the termination point of the melting-field curve $H_m(T)$, but not of the depairing field scale (Fig. 13). This contrasts with the phase diagram in NCCO (Fig. 28), in which $(T_c, 0)$ serves as the termination point of both $H_m(T)$ and $H_{c2}(T)$. The latter is the canonical behavior in the BCS gap-closing scenario. The extension of the vortex liquid to above $T_c$, together with the anomalous behavior of $H_{c2}$ constitute the most striking signatures of the phase-disordering scenario.
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